American Journal of Medical and Clinical Research & Reviews

Modified non-pituitary down regulation protocol for ovulation induction in ART (IVF & ICSI)

Zawawa Alia, Elmahaishi Asma, Elmahaishi MS

*Correspondence: Elmahaishi MS

Received: 20 Jam 2024; Accepted: 25 jan 2024; Published: 29 Jan 2024

Citation: Elmahaishi MS. Modified non-pituitary down regulation protocol for ovulation induction in ART (IVF & ICSI). AJMCRR 2024; 3(1): 1-9.

ABSTRACT

Infertility is a unique medical condition because it involves a couple rather than a single individual. Both the prevalence of infertility and the number of patients seeking the treatment of this disorder are increasing. Although it is not a physically debilitating disease; infertility may severely affect the couples psychological, sexual and social life.

From literature we found that agonist protocol has taken long time in treating the patient and not used for polycystic patient because of risk of hyperstimulation while antagonist protocol take less time and less drug cosumed and has advantages in polycystic ovaries patient,. In our study on 241 patients who admitted for ICSI and started their ovarian stimulation by HMG or FSH without pituitary down regulation protocol + single dose orgalutran (GnRH antagonist Ganirelix acetate) we find that pregnancy outcome same as in the other protocols with less side effects, good quality and excellent embryos, with low cost to the patient.

Introduction:

problems facing all societies. It is estimated that licular development. about 70% of couples will be affected by infertility by 2025(1). The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) recommends in-vitro fertilization (IVF)as the definitive treatment for prolonged unresolved infertility after other treatments have failed. IVF may be used to overcome female or male fertility problems (NICE 2013).

controlled ovarian stimulation comprises three

basic elements.

Infertility is one of the most concerning health Exogenous gonadotrophins to stimulate multi fol-

Cotreatment with either gonadotropins -releasing hormone (GnRH)agonist or antagonists to suppress pituitary function and prevent premature ovulation. Triggering of final oocyte maturation 36 to 38 hrs prior to oocyte retrieval (2).

Problems of ovarian stimulation include; poor responders, hyperstimulation, premature LH surge.

The main aim of introducing the agonists to IVF BBT/Germany purified type) then add single one protocol was to prevent the premature LH surge.

synthetic agonist increases stability and binding affinity to its receptors 100-200 times higher. GnRH agonist first synthesized in 1972 (3).

When the GnRH receptors exposed to GnRH agonist for a prolonged period, the cell lose their ability to respond to the stimulus with their original sensitivity.

These analogues have certain amino acids substitutions in the gonadotropin amino acid sequence that increases the half-life and competencies of analogues compared to natural hormones (4-6).

GnRH agonists allows sustained stimulation of cost to the patient. gonadotropin secretion, while GnRH antagonists act as mediators of chemical hypophysectomy (7).

Process is rapid and reversible.

GnRH is released in a pulsatile fashion but a Con- The pregnancy outcome has no difference from tinuous supply of GnRH suppresses gonadotrophin other protocols. secretion by desensitization of gonadotrophs.

Overall, both analogues are widely used in IVF to induce folliculogenesis via prevention of endogenous LH surge and timed oocyte retrieval (8,9).

Among the various GnRH agonist long protocols, namely ultrashort, short and long, the long GnRH The total number of patient 241 patients. agonist protocol has been used as the gold standard in IVF since its discovery in the 1980s (8,10). The recent development of GnRH antagonists has of- All patients had purified HMG or purified FSH for fered an alternative approach in IVF treatment with polycystic ovary (PCO) patients, all HMG and FSH no significant difference in pregnancy outcome. are coming from BBT/ Germany named Diaclare The use of antagonist protocol to be safer in PCO highly purified type. Started at 3rd day of cycle patients.

In our study we are using ovarian stimulation protocol by HMG purified type (Diaclare HMG from

dose of GnRH Antagonist just 24 hours before HCG adminstration. We have almost same result according to the quality of Eggs, Embryos and pregnancy outcome. The difference in this new protocol (non-pituitary down regulation + one dose It acts through Down regulation (Desensitization); GnRH antagonist) are a smaller number of gonadotropin use (HMG, FSH), single dose antagonist, less day to reach the Eggs retrieval and Embryo transfer with good pregnancy outcome.

Aim of the study:

To prove that using of Orgalutran (GnRH Antagonist Ganirelix acetate) will reduce the risk of premature LH surge and give good number of eggs with good quality and excellent embryos, with less

This addition to our previous Non-Pituitary Down Regulation protocol for ovulation induction in ART.

Patients and method:

Prospective study for patients coming for (ART) (ICSI) from 2.1.2023 to 26.12.2023.

Age of patients 20-39 yrs old.

Site: Lamis IVF center Misurata Libva.

with 300 IU/IM Diaclare highly purified HMG or FSH on daily dose for seven days.

Vaginal U/S on day 7 of cycle (day 5 of starting injection of HMG or FSH) done and repeated on day 9 or 10 of cycle to measure folliclular size.

Orgalutran 0.25 mg sc injection was given when the leading follicles reaching 1617 mm in diameter (between 12 to 24 hours before HCG injection) in addition to the dose of Hp HMG.

When the leading follicle diameter 18mm 10,000 IU/ IM of highly purified HCG from Diaclare/ Table 1 BBTis given.

At 34-35 hours from the injection of HCG, pick up of eggs were performed.

Immediate assessment of eggs in number and quality, all eggs classified by our Embryologist to GV, Table ll M1, and M2. In this study we were injecting eggs with sperm at stage M1, and M2 by this the ICSI procedure is completed the injected eggs are kept in the embryo incubator. Any egg with Grade 3 or 4 were not for sperm injection so we complete the ICSI procedure on G1 and G2. In our Andrology laboratory in IVF center we try to get the best The distributions in patients without eggs 3 sperm in the semen sample after complete preparation. The sperms can be fresh sample or from cryo sample.

The Embryo transfer should be at morula or blastocyst stage on day 4 or 5 of pick up time. The trans- Table IV fers Embryos have to be not more than three in number per patient, who aged 20-35 years old only one embryo transfer; sometimes two embryo transfer on patient request. All others we transfer only two Embryos.

We grade Embryos before transferred in our policy to G1 and G2 we don't transfer G3 or G4. We avoid transfer any Embryo with delay in division or stopped growing at any time so that it has to reach morula stage or Blastocyst. The Embryos loaded in the catheter for transfer by the embryologist and clinition complete the transfer to patient's uterus without anesthesia, we are using labotech, cock or ketazato type of cathether. Our policy to give progesterone vaginal pessary as luteal support from the day of Embryo transfer for 10wks when there is pregnancy going on clinically.

The Results:

Age of patients/ total number

Ages	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39
Numb. Of pa- tients	19	41	71	110

Number of eggs collected

1-4	5-10 eggs	11-15 eggs	16-20 eggs	>20
eggs				eggs
86	79	34	11	12

Table III

Ages	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39
Numb. Of patients With no eggs	1	1	7	10

Type of eggs

Total numb. Of eggs	Good quality were injected by sperm	Poor eggs were not injected
1588	1282	306

Table V

Presence of polycystic ovaries in this study is

Total number	Polycystic ovaries patients
241	57

Table Vl

Polycystic ovaries	distributions
--------------------	---------------

Ages	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39
Numb. Of Polycystic ovary patients	8	18	21	10

Table VII

Embryo transfer +

Excellent embryo	442
No Embryo transfer	39

The summary of the results:

- Prospective 2.1.2023 till study from 26.12.2023.
- The total pick up 241 patients.
- Patients with no eggs 19 (7.88%).
- Good quality eggs 1282 (80.7%).
- Poor quality eggs 306 (19.2%).
- Total patients who had no E.T 39 (16.1%).
- Total no of excellent embryo 442 (91.8%).
- polycystic patients are around (24%)

Discussion:

The ideal ovarian stimulation regimen for IVF should have a low cancellation rate; minimize drug costs, risks, and side effects; require limited monitoring for practical convenience; and maximize cu- Also, we try before this study with letrazole tablet mulative live birth rate per oocyte retrieval procedure, that is, cumulative chances of one or more live births after exhausting all fresh and frozen embryos generated from a single oocyte retrieval. Singleton pregnancy delivered at term is the ultimate goal of ART. This we try to do in our study.

The first birth resulting from IVF derived from a

single oocyte collected in a natural ovulatory cycle (11). Compared to stimulated IVF cycles, natural cycle IVF offers a number of attractive advantages.

Natural cycle IVF involves only monitoring the spontaneous cycle and retrieving a single oocyte before the midcycle LH surge occurs. It is physically less demanding, requires little or no medication, decreases costs, (12,13), and all but eliminates risks for multiple pregnancy and OHSS. The chief disadvantages of natural cycle IVF are high cancellation rates due to premature LH surges and ovulation and the comparatively low success rate of approximately 7% (14). because of this we didn't include the natural cycle in our study.

Clomiphene citrate was the first method of ovarian stimulation used in IVF, (15,16) but now has been almost entirely replaced by more effective stimulation regimens using human menopausal gonadotropins HMG or FSH, in combination with a GnRH agonist or antagonist (17).

Drug costs and monitoring requirements are moderately higher but still substantially less than in standard stimulation regimens involving higherdose gonadotropin treatment after down-regulation with a long-acting GnRH agonist (18,19).

In one comparative trial, higher cancellation rates and lower pregnancy rates were observed in sequential clomiphene/gonadotropin compared to gonadotropin/GnRH agonist cycles (19).

altogether with gonadotropins we find no more difference with gonadotropins alone for older age.

The introduction of long-acting GnRH agonists in the late 1980s revolutionized the approach to ovarian stimulation in ART by providing the means to suppress endogenous pituitary gonadotropin secretion and thereby prevent a premature LH surge durtreatment with a GnRH agonist eliminated the need poned until later in follicular development (after 5for frequent serum LH measurements and assuaged 6 days of gonadotropin stimulation), after estradiol fears of premature luteinization, which previously levels are already elevated, thereby eliminating the had required cancellation of approximately 20% of estrogen deficiency symptoms that may emerge in all IVF cycles before oocyte retrieval (20,21,22) women treated with an agonist (31). Because fewer than 2% of cycles are complicated by a premature LH surge after down-regulation with a GnRH agonist (23) stimulation could continue until follicles were larger and more mature.

Its disadvantages are that GnRH agonist treatment quired is decreased (31,32). sometimes blunts the response to gonadotropin stimulation and increases the dose and duration of gonadotropin therapy required to stimulate follicular development.

In 2015, 11% of stimulation cycles were cancelled before oocyte retrieval, most for lack of adequate response, and some for excessive response (24,25) When the ovaries become grossly enlarged, containing large numbers of follicles of all sizes, and serum estradiol concentrations are markedly elevated (>5,000 pg/mL), the risk for OHSS increases substantially (26,27,28).

In our protocol only, short time is needed for treat- er dose of cetrorelix (3.0 mg) will effectively prement and follow up.no cancellation cycle although vent an LH surge for 96 hours. If given on day 6-7 7.8% of our patient have no eggs picked up which of stimulation, the interval of effective suppression could be because of LH surge.

The "short" or "flare" protocol is an alternative stimulation regimen designed to exploit both the brief initial agonistic phase of response to a GnRH agonist and the suppression that results from longer -term treatment (29,30)

GnRH antagonists offer several potential advantages over agonists. First, the duration of treatment for an antagonist is substantially shorter than for an agonist. Since its only purpose is to prevent

ing exogenous gonadotropin stimulation. Adjuvant are immediate, antagonist treatment can be post-

Second, because any suppressive effects that agonists may exert on the ovarian response to gonadotropin stimulation also are eliminated, the total dose and duration of gonadotropin stimulation re-

In our protocol still, the duration of time is shorter and amount of drug used is less than this protocol.

The two GnRH antagonists available for clinical use, ganirelix and cetrorelix, are equally potent and effective. For both, the minimum effective dose to prevent a premature LH surge is 0.25 mg daily, administered subcutaneously (33,34) The treatment protocol may be fixed and begin after 5-6 days of gonadotropin stimulation (33,34,35) or tailored to the response of the individual, starting treatment when the lead follicle reaches approximately 13-14 mm in diameter(36,37) Alternatively, a single largwill encompass the day of hCG administration in most women (75–90%); the remainder may receive additional daily doses (0.25 mg) as needed, ending on the day of hCG treatment (38,39,40) The singledose antagonist treatment regimen also can be withheld until the lead follicle reaches 13-14 mm in diameter (41).

In our protocol smaller, single dose of GnRH antagonist is given which means cost less to the patient.

a premature endogenous LH surge and its effects In our study 45.6% of patients aged between 35-39

yrs and only 9% of them have no eggs.

80.7% of eggs collected are of good quality although our patient age ranges from 20 to 39 years old

Although 24% of our patient is polycystic ovaries no case of hyperstimulation is recorded.

Our protocol give all of the advantages of ART pro- 5. tocols in the same time has more advantage in cost benefit, less drugs used, less time consumed, for treatment.

Conclusion:

Our conclusion of this study has the advantage of low cost for the patient ,shorter time for pick up ,and give good result as in other protocols . There is no difference between it and agonist and & antagonist protocols in quality of eggs and in pregnancy rate. It also has the advantage of no case of hyperstimulation recorder although we used in polcystic ovaries patient These results have value for IVF&ICSI treatments.

Reference:

- Zahmatkeshan M, Naghdi M, Farjam M, et al. ART Registries-Characteristics and experiences: A comparative study. J Family Med Prim Care 2019; 8:44954. [Crossref] [PubMed].
- Elmahaishi Asma, Zawawa Alia, Elmahaishi MS. Non-Pituitary Down Regulation Protocol for Ovulation Induction in ART (IVF & ICSI). Gynecol Reprod Health. 2019; 3(4): 1-5.
- Crustacean color-change hormone: amino acid sequence and chemical synthesis P. Fernlund, L. Josefsson ; Science, 177 (4044) (1972), pp. 173175.
- 4. Itskovitz-Eldor J, Kol S, Mannaerts B. Use of a single bolus of GnRH agonist triptorelin to trig-

ger ovulation after GnRH antagonist ganirelix treatment in women undergoing ovarian stimulation for assisted reproduction, with special reference to the prevention of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome: preliminary report: short communication. Hum Reprod. 2000; 15: 19651968.

- Franco JG, Baruffi RL, Mauri AL, et al. GnRH agonist versus GnRH antagonist in poor ovarian responders: a meta-analysis. Reprod Biomed Online. 2006; 13: 618-627
- Daya S. Gonadotropin releasing hormone agonist protocols for pituitary desensitization in in vitro fertilization and gamete intrafallopian transfer cycles. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2000; CD001299.
- vanLoenen AC, Huirne JA, Schats R, et al. GnRH agonists, antagonists, and assisted conception. Semin Reprod Med. 2002; 20: 349-364.
- Grow D, Kawwass JF, Kulkarni AD, et al. GnRH agonist and GnRH antagonist protocols: comparison of outcomes among good-prognosis patients using national surveillance data. Reprod Biomed Online. 2014; 29: 299-304.
- Khalaf M, Mittre H, Levallet J, et al. GnRH agonist and GnRH antagonist protocols in ovarian stimulation: differential regulation pathway of aromatase expression in human granulosa cells. Reprod Biomed Online. 2010; 21: 56-65.
- 10. Lai Q, Zhang H, Zhu G, et al. Comparison of the GnRH agonist and antagonist protocol on the same patients in assisted reproduction during controlled ovarian stimulation cycles. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2013; 6: 19031910.

- 11. Steptoe PC, Edwards RG, Birth after the reimplantation of a human embryo, Lancet 2:366, 1978.
- 12. Aboulghar MA, Mansour RT, Serour GA, Amin YM, Sattar MA, Ramzy AM, In vitro fertilization in a spontaneous cycle: a successfulsimple protocol, J Obstet Gynaecol (Tokyo 1995) 21:337, 1995.
- 13. Nargund G, Waterstone J, Bland J, Philips Z, Parsons J, Campbell S, Cumulative conception and live birth rates in natural (unstimulated) IVF cycles, Hum Reprod 16:259, 2001.
- 14. Pelinck MJ, Hoek A, Simons AH, Heineman MJ, Efficacy of natural cycle IVF: a review of the literature, Hum Reprod Update 8:129, 2002.
- 15. Trounson AO, Leeton JF, Wood C, Webb J, Wood J, Pregnancies in humans by fertilization in vitro and embryo transfer in the controlled ovulatory cycle, Science 212:681, 1981.
- 16. Quigley MM, Schmidt CL, Beauchamp PJ, 23. Henne MB, Milki AA, Uterine position at real Pace-Owens S, Berkowitz AS, Wolf DP, Enhanced follicular recruitment in an in vitro fertilization program: clomiphene alone versus a clomiphene/human menopausal gonadotropin combination, Fertil Steril 42:25, 1984.
- 17. Macklon NS, Stouffer RL, Giudice LC, Fauser BC, The science behind 25 years of ovarian stimulation for in vitro fertilization, Endocr Rev 27:170, 2006.
- 18. Weigert M, Krischker U, Pohl M, Poschalko G, Kindermann C, Feichtinger W, Comparison of stimulation with clomiphene citrate in combination with recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone and recombinant luteinizing hormone to stimulation with a gonadotropin-releasing

hormone agonist protocol: a prospective, randomized study, Fertil Steril 78:34, 2002.

- 19. Dhont M, Onghena A, Coetsier T, De Sutter P, Prospective randomized study of clomiphene citrate and gonadotrophins versus goserelin and gonadotrophins for follicular stimulation in assisted reproduction, Hum Reprod 10:791, 1995.
- 20. Meldrum D, GnRH agonists as adjuncts for in vitro fertilization, Obstet Gynecol Surv 44:314, 1989.
- 21. Edwards RG, Lobo R, Bouchard P, Time to revolutionize ovarian stimulation, Hum Reprod 11:917, 1996.
- 22. Janssens RM, Lambalk CB, Vermeiden JP, Schats R, Bernards JM, RekersMombarg LT, Schoemaker J, Dose-finding study of triptorelin acetate for prevention of a premature LH surge in IVF: a prospective, randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled study, Hum Reprod 15:2333, 2000.
- embryo transfer compared with mock embryo transfer, Hum Reprod 19:570, 2004.
- 24. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, American Society for Reproductive Medicine, Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology, 2015 Assisted Reproductive Technology National Summary Report, 2017.
- 25. Ata B, Seli E, A universal freeze allstrategy: why it is not warranted, Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol 29:136, 2017.
- 26. Smitz J, Camus M, Devroey P, Erard P, Wisanto A, Van Steirteghem AC, Incidence of severe ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome after GnRH agonist/HMG superovulation for in-vitro fertilization, Hum Reprod 5:933, 1990.

- 27. MacDougall MJ, Tan SL, Jacobs HS, In-vitro 34. Albano C, Smitz J, Camus M, Riethmuller-fertilization and the ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome, Hum Reprod 7:597, 1992.
 Winzen H, Van Steirteghem A, Devroey P, Comparison of different doses of gonadotropin-
- 28. Rizk B, Smitz J, Ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome after superovulation using GnRH agonists for IVF and related procedures, Hum Reprod 7:320, 1992.
- 29. Padilla SL, Dugan K, Maruschak V, Shalika S, Smith RD, Use of the flare-up protocol with high dose human follicle stimulating hormone and human menopausal gonadotropins for in vitro fertilization in poor responders, Fertil Steril 65:796, 1996.
- 30. Garcia JE, Padilla SL, Bayati J, Baramki TA, Follicular phase gonadotropinreleasing hormone agonist and human gonadotropins: a better alternative for ovulation induction in vitro fertilization, Fertil Steril 53:302,1990.
- 31. Olivennes F, Cunha-Filho JS, Fanchin R, Bouchard P, Frydman R, The use of GnRH antagonists in ovarian stimulation, Hum Reprod Update 8:279, 2002.
- 32. Albano C, Felberbaum RE, Smitz J, Riethmuller-Winzen H, Engel J, Diedrich K, Devroey P, Ovarian stimulation with HMG: results of a prospective randomized phase III European study comparing the luteinizing hormonereleasing hormone (LHRH)-antagonist cetrorelix and the LHRH-agonist buserelin. European Cetrorelix Study Group, Hum Reprod 15:526, 2000.
- 33. A double-blind, randomized, dose-finding study to assess the efficacy of the gonadotrophinreleasing hormone antagonist ganirelix (Org 37462) to prevent premature luteinizing hormone surges in women undergoing ovarian.

- Albano C, Smitz J, Camus M, Riethmuller-Winzen H, Van Steirteghem A, Devroey P, Comparison of different doses of gonadotropinreleasing hormone antagonist Cetrorelix during controlled ovarian hyperstimulation, Fertil Steril 67:917, 1997.
- 35. Diedrich K, Diedrich C, Santos E, Zoll C, al-Hasani S, Reissmann T, Krebs D, Klingmuller D, Suppression of the endogenous luteinizing hormone surge by the gonadotrophin-releasing hormone antagonist Cetrorelix during ovarian stimulation, Hum Reprod 9:788, 1994.
- 36. Ludwig M, Katalinic A, Banz C, Schroder AK, Loning M, Weiss JM, Diedrich K, Tailoring the GnRH antagonist cetrorelix acetate to individual patients' needs in ovarian stimulation for IVF: results of a prospective, randomized study, Hum Reprod 17:2842, 2002.
- 37. Klipstein S, Reindollar RH, Regan MM, Alper MM, Initiation of the gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist ganirelix for in vitro fertilization cycles in which the lead follicle is >14 mm, Fertil Steril 81:714, 2004.
- 38. Olivennes F, Belaisch-Allart J, Emperaire JC, Dechaud H, Alvarez S, Moreau L, Nicollet B, Zorn JR, Bouchard P, Frydman R, Prospective, randomized, controlled study of in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer with a single dose of a luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LH-RH) antagonist (cetrorelix) or a depot formula of an LH-RH agonist (triptorelin), Fertil Steril 73:314, 2000.
- 39. Olivennes F, Diedrich K, Frydman R, Felberbaum RE, Howles CM; Cerotide Multiple Dose International Study Group; Cetrotide Single Dose International Study Group, Safety and efficacy of a 3 mg dose of the GnRH antagonist

report of two large multicentre, multinational, phase IIIb clinical experiences, Reprod Biomed Online 6:432, 2003.

- 40. Olivennes F, Alvarez S, Bouchard P, Fanchin R, Salat-Baroux J, Frydman R, the use of a GnRH antagonist (Cetrorelix) in a single dose protocol in IVFembryo transfer: a dose finding study of 3 versus 2 mg, Hum Reprod 13:2411, 1998.
- cetrorelix in preventing premature LH surges: 41. Fanchin R, Cunha-Filho JS, Schonauer LM, Kadoch IJ, Cohen-Bacri P, Frydman R, Coordination of early antral follicles by luteal estradiol administration provides a basis for alternative controlled ovarian hyperstimulation regimens, Fertil Steril 79:316, 2003.