American Journal of Medical and Clinical Research & Reviews

Morphological And Morphometric Characteristics Of The Optic Disc And Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer In Healthy Patients Evaluated By Optical Coherence Tomography At Clínica La Luz

Fermin Silva Cayatopa¹, Carlos Bernardo Pinzón Pinilla¹, Ana Luisa González Mendez¹, Robinson Barrientos Ortiz¹, Laura Acevedo Espitia², Paula Cardozo³.

- 1. Department of Ophthalmology, Research Department Clínica La Luz, Lima-Perú.
- 2. Universidad de La Sabana, Chía, Cundinamarca, Colombia.
- 3. Universidad El Bosque, Bogotá D.C, Colombia.

**Correspondence:* Ana Luisa González Méndez

Received: 09 July 2024; Accepted: 15 July 2024; Published: 20 July 2024

Citation: Ana Luisa González Méndez. Morphological And Morphometric Characteristics Of The Optic Disc And Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer In Healthy Patients Evaluated By Optical Coherence Tomography At Clínica La Luz. AJMCRR 2024; 3(7): 1-7.

ABSTRACT

Objective: To characterize the morphological and morphometric parameters of the optic disc and retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) in healthy patients studied using optical coherence tomography.

Materials and Methods: Descriptive, prospective, cross-sectional study. 252 eyes of 126 patients who attended ophthalmological evaluation at Clínica La Luz in Lima, Peru, from October 2022 to July 2023 were evaluated. Using the ZEISS CIRRUS TM HD OCT Model 5000 optical coherence tomography (Carl Zeiss Inc., Dublin, CA, USA), morphological and morphometric parameters of the optic disc and RNFL thickness were measured. Megalopapilla was defined as an optic disc area > 2.5mm2 and larger than the mean plus 2 standard deviations.

Results: In patients without megalopapilla, the optic disc area was 2.05 ± 0.29 mm2, rim area 1.29 ± 0.19 mm2, cupping 0.63 ± 0.48 mm2; average cup/disc ratio 0.58 ± 0.13 , vertical cup/disc ratio 0.55 ± 0.12 , and RNFL thickness 95.99 ± 8.63 mm2. The prevalence of patients without megalopapilla was 67% considering an optic disc area <2.5mm2.

In patients with megalopapilla, the optic disc area was 2.87 ± 0.30 mm2, rim area 1.36 ± 0.21 mm2; average cup/disc ratio 0.71 ± 0.07 , vertical cup/disc ratio 0.67 ± 0.07 , and RNFL thickness 99.13 ± 8.71 mm2. The prevalence of megalopapilla was 33%, considering an optic disc area > 2.5mm2. When comparing megalopapillae with normal discs, the rim area (p < 0.001) and RNFL thickness (p = 0.78) showed no statistically significant differences.

Conclusion: The results showed that the disc area was 2.05 ± 0.29 mm², with CFNR thickness $95.99 \pm$ 8.63mm2. The prevalence of megalopapilla was 32% and 8%, with disc area > 2.5mm2 and 3.07mm2 respectively. The values in terms of ring area and CFNR thickness are similar in megalopapilla and normal discs.

Keywords: Megalopapilla, Optical coherence tomography, Optic disc.

INTRODUCTION

responding defects in visual function². Previous in clinical practice to obtain an objective and quanrandomized controlled trials have shown that early titative estimation of optic nerve topography^{6,7}. glaucoma can present with functional and structur-(RNFL) thickness and its ganglion cells. OCT OCT data from both the disc and macula. serves as a sensitive indicator of changes in early glaucoma.4

obtained by OCT provides a normative database optic disc to objectively classify healthy eyes and for a given population and provides value on the megalopapillae in the early detection of glaucoma, average size of the optic disc, considering that not given its variability among different ethnic all ethnic groups handle the same measurements. groups⁹. Therefore, disc size should be considered in the evaluation of the optic nerve for progressive optic MATERIALS AND METHODS neuropathies such as glaucoma.

mates of disc size, limiting comparison between Peru, in an outpatient setting, from October 2022 studies. Additionally, there is a large variation in to July 2023. Patients over 18 years of age were disc size within a population⁵, and also between included, without media opacity, intraocular prespopulations. In the field of ophthalmology, there sure <21 mmHg, optic disc without evidence of are several ways to measure the optic nerve and pathological signs on fundoscopy, or visual field thus have a more precise and objective result for alterations. Patients with pathological fundoscopy the early detection of optic disc abnormalities, de- were excluded, as well as those with a history of

termining its progressive damage or simply con-Glaucoma is the leading cause of irreversible firming that they are megalopapillae, which do not blindness worldwide¹. It is characterized by a pro- involve any damage to the disc itself, defined as an gressive loss of retinal ganglion cells, along with optic nerve with a surface area greater than 2.5 structural changes in the optic nerve head and cor- mm2. Various imaging modalities have been used

al defects³. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) OCT evaluates the optic disc and macular area, beis the tool used to evaluate the glaucomatous struc- ing able to reveal preperimetric glaucoma with tural deterioration of the optic nerve head, along high sensitivity and specificity⁸. Therefore, for diwith the assessment of retinal nerve fiber layer agnosing all types of glaucoma, it is best to use

It is of utmost importance to identify a classification model that combines information from numer-The quantitative measurement of the optic nerve ical and color data in OCT of the macular area and

A descriptive, prospective, cross-sectional study was conducted in which 252 eyes of 126 mestizo Measurement techniques can provide different esti- patients were evaluated at Clínica la Luz, Lima, previous ocular pathology, family history, and underlying comorbidities. All patients underwent a complete medical history, including systemic and ocular pathological antecedents. A comprehensive ophthalmologic evaluation was performed, including visual acuity measurement with Snellen chart in each eye separately, best-corrected refraction, slit-lamp biomicroscopy, Goldmann applanation tonometry (HAAG-STREIT AG © 3098 Koeniz, Switzerland), gonioscopy (mirror 4 mini gonioscope), fundoscopy, ultrasonic pachymetry, Humphrey 24-2 SITA (Humphrey® Analyzer II-i, Carl Zeiss Inc., Dublin, through OCT measurement were as follows: Disc CA, USA), and OCT with ZEISS CIRRUS TM HD area: 2.32 mm^2 (SD = 0.48), Border area: 1.31 -OCT Model 5000 (Carl Zeiss Inc., Dublin, CA, mm² (SD = 0.48), Cup-to-disc ratio (C/D ratio): USA), taking optic discs cube 200 x 200 and macu- 0.63 (SD = 0.13), Vertical C/D ratio: 0.59 (SD = lar cube 512x128 protocols.

The following variables were studied: age, sex, RNFL thickness, average RNFL symmetry, disc area, border area, average C/D ratio, vertical C/D ratio, and cup volume. Megalopapilla is defined as an optic nerve with a surface area greater than 2.5 mm^{2} ,⁶ and a disc area greater than the mean plus 2 standard deviations. Various imaging modalities have been used in clinical practice to obtain an objective and quantitative estimation of optic nerve Figure 1 - Prevalence of megalopapilla with disc topography.

RESULTS

A total of 126 patients were evaluated, of which women accounted for 65.9% and men for 33.3%, with age ranges of 20-83 years (standard deviation 55.8 ± 14.6), of which 169 had healthy eyes and 83 had megalopapilla. (Table 1).

Table 1: EPIDEMIOLOGICAL CHA-							
RACTERISTICS							
		N =	Mea	SD	Ran-		
		126	n		ge		
AGE			55.8	14.6	20 -		
					83		
SEX	Fe-	83	65.9 %				
	male						
	Male	43	34.1 %				
Ethnic Group: Mestizo							
^a Percentage of the study population.							
Source: Data recorded by the researchers							

FAST visual field The characteristics of the optic disc discovered 0.12), Cup volume: 0.34 (SD = 0.23). (Table 2)



area $> 2.5 \text{ mm}^2$



Figure 2 - Prevalence of megalopapilla with disc $area > 3.07 \text{ mm}^2$

The prevalence of megalopapilla was 32.9% when 0,278), average C/D ratio (p = 0,365), vertical C/D considering an optic disc > 2.5 mm² and 8% when ratio (p = 0,508), and excavation volume (p =the disc area is $> 3.07 \text{ mm}^2$. (Figures 1 and 2)

Table 3: CHAISTICS OFUES OF THEDISC ANDMEGALOPAE	Mean ± SD	p. va- lue				
	Border Area	$\begin{array}{c} 1.36 \pm \\ 0.21 \end{array}$	0.001			
	Average C/D Ratio	0.71 ± 0.07	0,365			
— 11 011	Vertical C/D Ratio	$\begin{array}{c} 0.67 \pm \\ 0.07 \end{array}$	0,508			
Table of Va- lues	Average	99.13 ± 8.71	0,365			
	Symmetry	88 ± 5.19	0,278			
	Cup volu- me	$\begin{array}{c} 0.54 \ \pm \\ 0.23 \end{array}$	0,029			
	Disc area	$\begin{array}{c} 2.87 \ \pm \\ 0.30 \end{array}$	0,011			
	Inferior	131.99 ± 14.89	< 0.001			
RNFL Thick-	Nasal	77.54 ± 13.28	< 0.001			
ness	Superior	121.57 ± 11.61	< 0.001			
	Temporal	$\begin{array}{r} 65.30 \\ \pm 8.06 \end{array}$	< 0.001			
GCL Thick-	Minimum	$79.25 \\ \pm 5.80$	< 0.001			
ness	Average	$\begin{array}{r} 83.07 \\ \pm 4.81 \end{array}$	< 0.001			
Source: Data recorded by the researchers						

The characteristics of optic disc parameters meas- range: 1.6-2.2 mm²) using the SD-OCT system ured by OCT in the population with megalopapilla (Avanti).¹¹ In our population, the optic disc area were as follows: optic disc area: 2.87 mm^2 (SD = was found to be larger than that found in previous 0.21), average C/D ratio: 0.71 mm² (DE= $0.71 \pm 1.96\pm0.06$ mm² in an American population using 0.07), vertical C/D ratio: 0.67 mm² (DE= 0.67 \pm OCT CIRRUS 5000¹², while Corredor et al. ob-0.07), and cup volume: 0.54 mm² (DE= 0.54 \pm tained a value of 2.1 \pm 0.4 mm² in a Colombian 0.23). (Table 3).

and RNFL thickness between normal-sized optic its ethnic characteristics, which is a factor of great discs and megalopapilla, it was observed that the relevance in this context. optic disc area (p = 0,011), excavation area (p =

0,029) were significantly different. However, the annulus area (p = 0.365) and RNFL thickness (p <0,001) showed statistically significant differences.

DISCUSSION

Although new technologies have had a positive impact on the diagnosis of various ophthalmic pathologies worldwide, it is crucial to understand the specific characteristics of each population to optimize diagnostic tools such as OCT, establishing reference values and other relevant data, such as the percentage of megalopapillae and the average RNFL thickness, which would allow for more precise diagnoses.

In the present study, OCT was used to analyze the parameters and characteristics of the optic disc in healthy eyes. An optic disc area of 2.32±0.48 mm² was found, which was similar to the area described by Sharifipour et al.¹⁰ (2.29±0.36 mm²) in a United States population. Compared to a broader population, there was a close approximation in the values found in the study by Yarmohammadi et al., where the optic disc area was 1.9 mm² (95% reference 2.87 \pm 0.30), border area: 1.36 mm² (SD = 1.36 \pm studies. Strouthidis et al. found an area of population using SD-OCT (AVANTI RTVUE XR)

¹³. These findings highlight the variability in optic Upon comparing the parameters of the optic disc size according to the studied population and

The measurement of the RNFL thickness was **CONCLUSIONS** 97.02 ± 8.77 µm, in agreement with the findings **TIONS** reported by Sharifipour et al. and Gopalakrishnan In conducting this study using SD-OCT to identify et al. in the Indian population and those reported optic nerve size parameters and RNFL thickness in by Y. Ozcan et al.¹⁴ and Jia et al. in studies con- a Peruvian population, it is possible to conclude ducted in the Hispanic-American population. that it differs compared to the Indian, North Amer-However, Corredor et al. reported an RNFL thick- ican, and other Hispanic-American populations. ness of $105.9 \pm 8.6 \,\mu\text{m}$ in a study with Hispanic- Additionally, a higher prevalence of megalopapil-Americans, which is higher than in our study. It is lae was identified compared to various Latin important to note that the studies compared includ- American countries, considering an optic disc area ed relatively few subjects of Hispanic origin, sug- > 2.5 mm²; however, no relevant differences in the gesting the need to implement ethnicity-specific studied parameters were found compared to nordatabases to expand the population sample.^{17,18,19}

32%, considering an optic disc area >2.5 mm², ases. which agrees with the study by Vasquez et al. in a similar population where they reported a preva- Funding lence of 24%.²⁰ This could be due to a high preva- This study was funded by the researchers. lence of megalopapillae associated with ethnic characteristics.

When comparing the ring area and RNFL thick- the realization of this work. ness between normal optic discs and megalopapillae, no significant differences were found, unlike Acknowledgments Budenz et al.²¹ who, using SD-OCT, found greater We thank the various services and departments of RNFL thickness associated with optic disc size, Clínica La Luz Lima-Peru, especially the staff and Kayaarasi et al.²² also reported disparities in working in the glaucoma service, for their assismegalopapillae parameters compared to normal tance in carrying out the work. optic discs in terms of ring area and RNFL thickness; these characteristics would allow differentia- References tion from discs with glaucomatous neuropa- 1. Allingham RR, Damji KF, Shields MB. thy^{23,24,25}. However, in a histological study by Varma et al., it was demonstrated that there is no association between disc area and RNFL.^{26,27,28} Simi- 2. larly, as in the present study, Rao et al. show that there is no statistically significant difference in the average RNFL thickness in eyes with megalopapillae and normal optic discs²⁸.

AND **RECOMMENDA-**

mal optic discs. Nevertheless, it is important to note that the sample size should be expanded to In our study, the prevalence of megalopapillae was conduct a more specific study and thus reduce bi-

Conflict of interests

The authors deny having any conflict of interest in

- Shields Textbook of Glaucoma. 6th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2011.
- Tanna AP, Boland MV, Giaconi JA, Krishnan C, Lin SC, Medeiros FA, Moroi SE, Sit AJ. American Academy of Ophthalmology. Basic and Science Course. Section 10: Glaucoma. 2023-2024.

- 3. Jonas JB, Thomas R, George R, Berenshtein E, Muliyil J. Optic disc morphology in south In-2003;87(2):189. doi:10.1136/bjo.87.2.189
- 4. Costa AMC, Cronemberger S. Optic Disc and Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer Thickness Descriptive Analysis in Megalopapilla. J Glaucoma. 2014. doi:10.1097/IJG.0b013e318279b3af
- 5. Mansoori T, Viswanath K, Balakrishna N. Optic disc topography in normal Indian eyes usraphy. Indian J Ophthalmol. 2011. doi:10.4103/0301-4738.73716
- 6. Zhang YX, Huang HB, Wei SH. Clinical characteristics of nonglaucomatous optic disc cupping. Exp Ther Med. 2014. doi:10.3892/ etm.2014.1508
- 7. Marsh BC, Cantor LB, WuDunn D, Hoop J, Lipyanik J, Patella VM, Budenz DL, Greenfield DS, Savell J, Schuman JS, Varma R. Optic nerve head (ONH) topographic analysis by stratus OCT in normal subjects: correlation to doi:10.1097/IJG.0b013e3181b6e5cd
- 8. Ramrattan RS, Wolfs RC, Jonas JB, Hofman A, de Jong PT. Determinants of optic disc characteristics in a general population: The 1999. Rotterdam Study. Ophthalmology. doi:10.1016/S0161-6420(99)90457-8
- 9. Hermann MM, Theofylaktopoulos I, Bangard N, Jonescu-Cuypers C, Coburger S, Diestelhorst M. Optic nerve head morphometry in healthy adults using confocal laser scanning Br tomography. J Ophthalmol. 2004: doi:10.1136/bjo.2003.028068.
- 10. Sharifipour F, Morales E, Lee JW, Giaconi J, 16. Appukuttan B, Giridhar A, Gopalakrishnan M, Afifi AA, Yu F, Caprioli J, Nouri-Mahdavi K. Vertical Macular Asymmetry Measures Derived From SD-OCT for Detection of Early

Glaucoma. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. September 2017. doi:10.1167/iovs.17-21961

- dia: the Vellore Eye Study. Br J Ophthalmol. 11. Yarmohammadi A, Zangwill LM, Diniz-Filho A, Suh MH, Manalastas PI, Fatehee N, Yousefi S, Belghith A, Saunders LJ, Medeiros FA, Huang D, Weinreb RN. Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography Vessel Density in Healthy, Glaucoma Suspect, and Glaucoma Eyes. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2016. doi:10.1167/iovs.15-18944
- ing spectral domain optical coherence tomog- 12. Strouthidis NG, Yang H, Reynaud JF, Grimm JL, Gardiner SK, Fortune B, Burgoyne CF. Comparison of clinical and spectral domain optical coherence tomography optic disc margin anatomy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2009. doi:10.1167/iovs.09-3586
 - 13. Corredor-Arroyo J, Teherán-Forero O, Ochoa-Díaz M, Ramos-Clason EC. Regla ISNT en fotografías de disco óptico, oftalmoscopia indirecta y medición de CFN con OCT. Rev Soc Colomb Oftalmol. 2022;55(2):50-57. DOI: 10.24875/RSCO.2200002.
- disc size, age, and ethnicity. J Glaucoma. 2010. 14. Ozcan Y, Ozcaliskan S, Balci S, Artunay O. The correlation of radial peripapillary capillary density measurements with optic nerve head morphology and retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in healthy eyes. Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther. 2020. doi:10.1016/j.pdpdt.2020.102008
 - 15. Jia Y, Wei E, Wang X, Zhang X, Morrison JC, Parikh M, Lombardi LH, Gattey DM, Armour RL, Edmunds B, Kraus MF, Fujimoto JG, Huang D. Optical coherence tomography angiography of optic disc perfusion in glaucoma. Ophthalmology. 2014. doi:10.1016/ j.ophtha.2014.01.021
 - Sivaprasad S. Normative spectral domain optical coherence tomography data on macular and retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in Indians.

Indian J Ophthalmol. 2014. doi:10.4103/0301- 23. Lee ES, Kang SY, Choi EH, Kim JH, Kim NR, 4738.116466

- 17. Maisel JM, Pearlstein CS, Adams WH, Heotis PM. Large optic disks in the Marshallese population. Am J Ophthalmol. 1989. doi:10.1016/0002-9394(89)90213-4
- moscopic evaluation of the optic nerve head. Surv Ophthalmol. doi:10.1016/s0039-6257(98) 00049-6
- 19. Nova Avila GN. Macrodisco y glaucoma en individuos estudiados con tomografía óptica coherente. [Tesis de grado]. Universidad del Rosario; 2012. doi:10.48713/10336 4091
- 20. Vasquez Alania PY, Gálvez Olórtegui T, Ayamamani Torres P, Pantoja Dávalos N, Fernandez Llerena S. Características morfométricas de disco óptico y espesor de capa de fibras nerviosas de la retina mediante tomografía de coheratendidos en el Instituto Nacional de Oftalmología. Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol. 2019;94 (12):579–584. doi:10.1016/j.oftal.2019.07.016.
- 21. Budenz DL, Anderson DR, Varma R, Schuman 27. Savini G, Zanini M, Carelli V, Sadun AA, Ross J, Cantor L, Savell J, Greenfield DS, Patella VM, Quigley HA, Tielsch J. Determinants of Normal Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer Thickness Measured by Stratus OCT. Ophthalmology. 2007. doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2006.08.046
- Özdogan Erkul S, Ayrancı Osmanbaşoğlu Ö, Sultan P. Optic nerve head topography and retinal structural changes in eyes with macrodisks: a comparative study with spectral domain optical coherence tomography. Clin Ophthalmol. 2016. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S102789

- Seong GJ, Kim CY. Comparisons of nerve fiber layer thickness measurements between Stratus, Cirrus, and RTVue OCTs in healthy and glaucomatous eyes. Optom Vis Sci. 2011. doi:10.1097/OPX.0b013e318215cc40
- 18. Jonas JB, Budde WM, Panda-Jonas S. Ophthal- 24. Sánchez-Dalmau B, Llorenç V, Ortiz S, Martínez L. Comparación de tres instrumentos de tomografía de coherencia óptica, un timedomain y dos Fourier-domain, en la estimación del grosor de la capa de fibras nerviosas de la Soc Esp Oftalmol. 2010. retina. Arch doi:10.1016/S0365-6691(10)55002-0
 - 25. Alasil T, Wang K, Keane PA, Lee H, Baniasadi N, de Boer JF, Chen TC. Analysis of normal retinal nerve fiber layer thickness by age, sex, and race using spectral domain optical coherence tomography. J Glaucoma. 2013. doi: 10.1097/IJG.0b013e318255bb4a.
 - encia óptica Cirrus 5000 en pacientes sanos 26. Varma R, Skaf M, Barron E. Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer Thickness in Normal Human Eyes. Ophthalmology. 1997;104(2):174. doi:10.1016/ S0161-6420(96)30381-3.
 - -Cisneros FN, Barboni P. Correlation between retinal nerve fibre layer thickness and optic nerve head size: an optical coherence tomography study. Br J Ophthalmol. 2005. doi:10.1136/ bjo.2004.052498
- 22. Kayaarası Öztürker Z, Eltutar K, Karini B, 28. Rao HL, Pradhan ZS, Suh MH, Moghimi S, Mansouri K, Weinreb RN. Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography in Glaucoma. J Glaucoma. 2020. doi:10.1097/ IJG.00000000001463