# American Journal of Medical and Clinical Research & Reviews

# Ibuprofen and pseudoephedrine hydrochloride as granules for oral solution. A comparative pharmacokinetic study

# Catalano Riccardo<sup>1</sup>, Anelli Marco<sup>2</sup>, Tosi Leila<sup>2</sup> and Mezzena Matteo<sup>1</sup>

1. E-Pharma Trento S.p.A, Italy

\*Correspondence: Anelli Marco

2. 4S4P consulenze srl, Carugate, Italy

Received: 15 Aug 2024; Accepted: 19 Aug 2024; Published: 25 Aug 2024

**Citation:** Anelli Marco. Ibuprofen and pseudoephedrine hydrochloride as granules for oral solution. A comparative pharmacokinetic study. AJMCRR 2024; 3(8): 1-9.

# **ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** Conventional oral formulations can be difficult to swallow for patients with dysphagia, children, and the elderly. A new pharmaceutical form containing a combination of ibuprofen/ pseudoephedrine designed to provide easier administration and more flexible dose adjustment while maintaining similar pharmacokinetic profile to other conventional formulations already on the market was developed.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the bioequivalence of a fixed oral combination of 400 mg ibuprofen and 60 mg pseudoephedrine granules for oral solution, compared with a reference market standard formulated in soft capsules after single dose administration.

**Methods:** An open, randomized, single dose, two-period, crossover trial was conducted. Subjects were randomly assigned to receive either 1 sachet of granules for oral solution (400 mg/60 mg – Test product), or 2 soft capsules with liquid content of SpaltGrippal® (200 mg/30 mg - Reference product) under fasting conditions. For the evaluation of bioequivalence, the 90% CI of log-transformed values were calculated for the ratios Test vs Reference for AUC(0-t) and  $C_{max}$  of ibuprofen (racemic) and (1S,2S)-pseudoephedrine and then compared to the corresponding acceptance ranges. Safety and tolerability were assessed during the clinical period and one week after the last dose.

**Results:** Bioequivalence was demonstrated for both  $C_{max}$  and AUC(0-t) of pseudoephedrine, as well as for the total exposure of ibuprofen, while the  $C_{max}$  of ibuprofen slightly exceeded the upper acceptance limit by approximately 6%. For both actives,  $T_{max}$  was lower with the granule's formulation. The overall safety and tolerability of the study medications were identical

**Conclusions:** Although the bioequivalence criteria between Test and Reference product were not completely met, the new formulation of ibuprofen/pseudoephedrine granules for oral solution is able to pro-

vide a therapeutic equivalence to conventional solid forms, ensuring a more convenient administration, faster onset of analgesia for the patient without altering its total exposure.

**KEYWORDS:** Ibuprofen; pseudoephedrine; granules for oral solution; bioavailability, pain relief.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Cold and influenza (flu) are the most common in- dren, the elderly, and dysphagics have difficulty fectious syndromes in humans. These diseases are swallowing solid oral formulations. diagnosed based on symptomatology, and treatments are mainly symptomatic (1).

The association between an analgesic/antipyretic ities (7,8). Administration of crushed medication drug and a nasal decongestant drug is known and mixed with a soft food or liquid vehicle, or through long used in clinical practice for the symptomatic a feeding tube, is a common strategy to circumvent treatment of acute upper respiratory tract infections swallowing difficulties in patients with dysphagia. (URTI) or common cold, often associated with However, inappropriate drug use and improper pain, fever and nasal obstruction (2-4).

Ibuprofen is widely used for the relief of mild to and pharmacodynamics, and compromise treatment moderate pain. It also may be used for self- efficacy and patient safety (8). medication for the temporary relief of minor aches and pains associated with the common cold, flu, or For these patients, as well as children and the eldersore throat; headache (including migraine); tooth- ly, therefore, the availability of alternative formulaache; muscular aches; backache; and minor pain of tions, such as liquid, orodispersible, or effervescent arthritis (5).

Pseudoephedrine hydrochloride is a peripherally rary relief of nasal congestion associated with up- 400 mg ibuprofen and 60 mg pseudoephedrine forrelief of sinus congestion and pressure (6).

The fixed ibuprofen/pseudoephedrine combina- Reference product) after single dose administration tions, administered principally as tablets and cap- under fasting conditions in two different periods, at sules, are approved and used for decades through- least 3 days apart. out Europe to relieve the symptoms of the common cold and flu when associated with stuffy nose The secondary objective was to investigate the (nasal congestion) and sinuses (sinusitis), with a safety and tolerability of the two preparations based well-established and accepted risk/benefit ratio.

However, some categories of people, such as chil-

Dysphagia is increasingly common in the elderly and is particularly prevalent in long-term care facilcrushing technique can reduce the dose of drug received by the patient, alter drug pharmacokinetics

forms that are easier to administer, is particularly beneficial.

acting adrenergic receptors stimulant used as a na- The main objective of this study was to assess the sal decongestant for self-medication for the tempo- bioequivalence of a new oral fixed combination of per respiratory allergy and to provide temporary mulated as granules for oral solution (Test product) as compared to a market standard in the form of soft capsule (2 x SpaltGrippal® 200 mg/30 mg -

on safety clinical and laboratory examinations and

reactions.

# **METHODS**

# **Subjects**

Thirty-three male and female healthy volunteers legal requirements. aged 18 to 55 years, with a body mass index (BMI) between 18.5 and 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and in good health based on medical history, physical examination, and laboratory screening were eligible to participate.

Main exclusion criteria included history of drug abuse or use of illegal drugs; alcohol abuse; regular consumption of beverages or food containing methylxanthines; pregnancy; known hypersensitivity or intolerance to NSAIDs; presence or a history of clinically significant cardiovascular, renal, hepatic, pulmonary, metabolic, endocrine, haematological, gastrointestinal neurological, psychiatric or other diseases; any chronic disease which might interfere with resorption, distribution, metabolism or excretion of the drug; history of difficulty in swallowing; positive serologic findings for HIV antibodies, HBsAg, and/or HCV antibodies.

All volunteers provided written informed consent.

# **Ethics**

The trial was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and its last amended (9), ICH Topic E8. Note for Guidance on General Considerations for Clinical Trials (10), ICH Topic E6. Guideline For Good Clinical Practice E6(R2) Step 5 (11), CHMP Guideline on the Investigation of Bioequivalence (12), Ibuprofen oral use immediate release formulations 200-800 mg product-specific bioequivalence guidance (13).

registration of adverse events and/or adverse drug Before the start of the study, the protocol and other appropriate documents (CRF, information for subject and informed consent) were submitted to the competent Ethics Committee For Clinical Trials (ECCT) in accordance with local and European

# **Study design**

This was a monocentric, open, randomized, singledose, two-period, crossover trial conducted in healthy volunteers.

Each subject received in a random way an oral single dose of either 1 sachet of granules for oral solution (Test product = 400 mg of ibuprofen and 60mg of pseudoephedrine) dissolved in 240 mL of table water or 2 capsules of SpaltGrippal® 200 mg/30 mg (Reference product = 400 mg ibuprofen and 60 mg of pseudoephedrine) with 240 mL of table water on two single occasions under fasting conditions. The order in which the treatments was given was defined in a randomization schedule.

The wash-out phase between the two treatment periods was initially scheduled for at least 3 days, however, the actual wash-out phase was between 4 and 9 days in all subjects.

The volunteers were fasted from food and beverages other than water, from 9 p.m. on the evening before dosing until lunchtime on the following day, approximately 4 hours post-dose. Water was provided ad libitum until 1 hour before and from 1 h after the drug administration on day 1 in each study period. The use of concomitant medication (except from paracetamol) was strictly forbidden for the whole trial period.

#### **Criteria for evaluation**

parison of pharmacokinetic parameters and the bio- the primary endpoints AUC(0-t) and C<sub>max</sub> of ibuequivalence assessment of the two formulations profen and pseudoephedrine. The following param-(Test and Reference product) considering AUC(0-t) eters were taken into consideration:  $\alpha$  (consumer's and  $C_{max}$  of ibuprofen (racemic) and (1S,2S)- risk) = 0.05,  $\beta$  (producer's risk) = 0.2 pseudoephedrine, while the evaluation of  $t_{max}$  was (power=80%),  $\theta_0$  (mean 'true' ratio) = 94% and the secondary endpoint. AUC(0-∞), AUCres, MRT, 95% for ibuprofen and pseudoephedrine respectiveand t<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> of analytes were also calculated as addition- ly, a bioequivalence limit acceptance range of 80% al endpoints.

#### **Determination of plasma concentrations**

and (1S,2S)-pseudoephedrine in plasma (total num- tigational drugs, was used for summaries of safety ber of 40 blood samples for two study periods, 8 and other variables such as, demographics, disposimL each) were drawn at the following times: 0:00 tion, AEs, physical examination including vital (pre-dose), 0:15, 0:30, 0:45, 1:00, 1:15, 1:30, 1:45, signs, ECG measurement and clinical laboratory 2:00, 2:20, 2:40, 3:00, 3:30, 4:00, 5:00, 6:00, 8:00, test results. 10:00, 12:00, 24:00 in each period.

EDTA K2 as anticoagulation agent. After the end bioequivalence assessment. of clinical part of the trial the plasma samples were transported frozen to the bioanalytical center for Pharmacokinetic parameters were derived from the bioanalytical procedures.

Plasma concentrations were analyzed using liquid tive statistics, namely arithmetic and geometric chromatography by tandem mass spectrometry (LC means, standard deviation (SD), coefficient of vari--MS/MS). For ibuprofen (racemic), the calibration ation (CV), median and ranges (lower and upper). range was 150.14 - 60054.00 ng/mL with an inter- These parameters included AUC(0-t), AUC(0- $\infty$ ), assay precision of 2.52, 1.73, 1.43, 1.49 % CV; for  $C_{max}$ ,  $t_{max}$ , AUCres, MRT and t<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>. (1S,2S)-pseudoephedrine, the calibration range was For each subject and each treatment, the primary 1.00 - 1000.00 ng/mL with an inter-assay precision endpoints were calculated by means of parametric of 2.93, 1.86, 1.40, 1.75 % CV. In order to elimi- method (ANOVA-log). The descriptive statistic nate the influence of the inter-assay imprecision on was used for the evaluation of secondary and addithe assessment, all plasma samples of the same tional endpoints. subject were measured in a single analytical batch.

## **Statistical and Pharmacokinetic analysis**

The primary endpoints of the trial were the com- The sample size of 28 was calculated in respect of -125%.

The Safety Population set, defined as all subjects Blood samples for analysis of ibuprofen (racemic) who were administered at least 1 dose of the inves-

The Per Protocol set, defined as all subjects with All blood samples were collected into tubes using no major protocol deviation(s), was used for the

> plasma concentration-time data using a noncompartmental approach and summarized by descrip-

The 90% confidence intervals (CI) of the logtransformed values were calculated for the ratios Test vs. Reference for AUC(0-t) and C<sub>max</sub> of ibu- Table 1: Baseline demographic data of subjects profen (racemic) and (1S,2S)-pseudoephedrine and BMI: Body Mass Index

subsequently compared with the pre-set BE acceptance ranges.

Bioequivalence between the Test and Reference formulations was demonstrated if the 90%Cis for ln C<sub>max</sub> and AUC0-t were within the acceptable range of 80%-125%.

means of the validated statistical software package  $26.3\pm3.2$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>. SAS for Windows, last available version 9.4

#### Safety

Adverse events were monitored during the clinical pharmacokinetic parameters of ibuprofen (racemic) period and one week after the last dose of study and (1S,2S)-pseudoephedrine for the Test formulatreatment, and throughout clinical and laboratory tion in granules for oral solution and the Reference examinations at entry and final visit. Descriptive formulation as soft capsules are summarized in Figstatistics were used to summarize all safety data.

## **RESULTS**

#### **Subject Disposition and Characteristics**

at least once with one of the study medications. higher peak observed with the granule formulation One subject withdrew from the trial prematurely; (Ibuprofen: mean  $C_{max}$  44.2 ± 8.6 µg/mL for the thus, twenty-seven subjects completed the trial ac- Test product and  $37.1 \pm 8.8 \ \mu g/mL$  for the Refercording to the protocol. All samples of 28 subjects ence product; Pseudoephedrine hydrochloride: (27 study completers 17 male and 10 female, and mean  $C_{max} 0.27 \pm 0.06 \mu g / mL$  for the Test product one drop-out) were analysed. The statistical evalua- and  $0.24 \pm 0.5 \ \mu g \ /mL$  for the Reference product). tion was based on the data of the 27 completers. The baseline demographic characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1.

(n=27)	Mean ± SD	Min – Max			
Age (years)	$39.9\pm9.0$	19.0 - 53.0			
Height (cm)	$172 \pm 12.5$	150.0 - 195.0			
Weight (kg)	$77.7 \pm 13.7$	53.7 - 107.5			
BMI $(kg/m^2)$	$26.3 \pm 3.2$	19.8 - 29.8			
White	100%	•			
male : female	17:10				

The mean  $\pm$  SD age was 39.9  $\pm$  9.0 years, body The biostatistical evaluation was carried out by weight was 77.7±13.7 kg, and body mass index was

## **PK** parameters

The plasma concentration time profiles and all ure 1 and in Table 2 and. Both formulations showed similar concentration time profiles, with a rapid increase until the maximum concentration of the two analytes was reached within 1 to 1.5 hours; howev-A total of 28 subjects were randomized and treated er, a slight difference in Cmax was observed, with a

Table 2: Pharmacokinetic parameters of ibuprofen (racemic) and (1S,2S)-pseudoephedrine after an oral single dose of 400 mg of ibuprofen and 60 mg of pseudoephedrine of test and reference formulations

	Test formulation granules for oral solution				Reference formulation soft capsules					
PK Parameter	N	Arithmetic mean	SD	CV	median	N	Arithmetic mean	SD	CV	median
Ibuprofen (racemic)										
AUC (0-t) (µg*h/mL)	27	107.5	27.7	25.8	105.5	27	108.9	24.3	22.1	108.3

AUC (0-∞) (µg*h/mL)	27	109.1	28.1	25.8	107.9	27	111.8	24.6	22.0	109.8
Cmax (µg/mL)	27	44.2	8.6	19.4	46.0	27	37.1	8.8	23.8	37.2
tmax (h)	27	0.36	0.19	52.0	0.25	27	0.97	0.78	80.3	0.75
AUCres (%)	27	1.46	0.66	44.9	1.59	27	1.75	0.82	46.9	1.78
MRT (h)	27	2.87	0.5	17.4	2.84	27	3.28	0.55	16.9	3.05
t ½ (h)	27	2.02	0.37	18.3	1.99	27	1.99	0.31	15.7	1.98
(1S, 2S)-Pseudoephedrine										
AUC (0-t) (µg*h/mL)	27	2.11	0.52	24.6	1.94	27	2.11	0.44	20.7	2.07
AUC (0-∞) (µg*h/mL)	27	2.23	0.59	26.6	2.02	27	2.27	0.62	27.3	2.14
Cmax (µg/mL)	27	0.27	0.06	20.9	0.27	27	0.24	0.05	19.4	0.24
tmax (h)	27	1.08	0.81	74.8	0.75	27	1.65	0.79	48.3	1.5
AUCres (%)	27	5.05	3.45	68.3	4.13	27	5.57	5.16	92.6	4.56
MRT (h)	27	8.11	1.65	20.3	7.73	27	8.68	2.39	27.5	8.42
t ½ (h)	27	5.54	1.21	21.9	5.26	27	5.56	1.66	29.9	5.19

AUC(0-t): area under the plasma concentration-time curve from zero to last observed concentration at time t; AUC( $0-\infty$ ): area under the plasma concentration-time curve from zero extrapolate to infinity; AUCres: residual area in percent; Cmax: observed maximum plasma concentration; CV: coefficient of variation; MRT: mean residence time; SD: standard deviation; tmax: observed time point of maximal concentration; t1/2, half-life.

## **Assessment of Bioequivalence**

The statistical analysis with the assessment of bioequivalence limit for  $C_{max}$  and AUC(0-t), of ibuprofen (racemic) and (1S,2S)-pseudoephedrine and the geometric mean ratios (test/reference) and 90%CIs of the two analytes between the 2 formulations are summarized in Table 3.

Variable	Method	Point estimator	Confidence intervals	CV%
Ibuprofen (racemic)				
AUC (0-t) (ratio test/reference)	ANOVA-log	97.20%	94.40% - 100.07%	6.28%
Cmax (ratio test/reference)	ANOVA-log	120.60%	111.00% - 131.04%	17.98%
(1S, 2S)-Pseudoephedrine				
AUC (0-t) (ratio test/reference)	ANOVA-log	98.77%	93.25% - 104.61%	12.39%
Cmax (ratio test/reference)	ANOVA-log	108.36%	102.17% - 114.93%	12.70%

Table 3: Statistical analysis of bioequivalence

AUC(0-t): area under the plasma concentration-time curve from zero to last observed concentration at time t;  $C_{max}$ : observed maximum plasma concentration

As shown, the results revealed that the fixed combination of ibuprofen/pseudoephedrine formulated as granules for oral solution had an equivalent AUC(0-t) to the soft capsules formulation: geometric mean ratio [90%CI], 97.20% (94.40%-100.07%) and 98.77% (93.25%-104.61%), for ibuprofen and

Differently, for C<sub>max</sub>, the geometric mean ratio regularly completed the trial was 400 mg of ibu-[90%CI] resulted within the pre-set acceptance profen and 60 mg of pseudoephedrine per period, range for pseudoephedrine (108.36% (102.17%- per subject. 114.93%)) while, for ibuprofen, the upper limit of the acceptance range was exceeding (120.60%) (111.0% - 131.04%)).

Safety

Twenty-seven healthy volunteers were exposed to an oral single dose of 400 mg of ibuprofen and 60 mg of pseudoephedrine corresponding to 1 sachet of granules for oral solution of the Test product and 2 capsules of SpaltGrippal® 200 mg/30 mg of the

Reference product on two single occasions. Therefore, the total exposure for the 27 subjects who all

During the trial, a total of 3 non-serious adverse events (AEs) were registered in 3 subjects and only 2 of them where treatment-emergent AEs (Table 4): one AE (presyncope) was observed in 1 subject before dosing, one AE (headache) observed in 1 subject after administration of the Test, one AE (somnolence) was observed in 1 subject after administration of the Reference.

Table 4: Number of treatment emergent adverse events by System Organ Class, Preferred Term and treatment

System Organ Class/PT	Test formulation Granules for solution	Reference formulation Soft capsules
Total number of TEAEs	1	1
Nervous system disorders	1	1
Headache	1	0
Somnolence	0	1

PT: preferred term TEAEs: treatment emergent adverse events

## Discussion

The present study was designed to assess the bioe- limit of the bioequivalence acceptance range for quivalence between a new fixed formulation of the C<sub>max</sub> of ibuprofen was exceeded by approxi-Ibuprofen/Pseudoephedrine formulated as granules mately 6%, for oral solution (1 sachet = 400 mg /60mg) com-

400 mg/60 mg) in healthy volunteers.

As previously reported, while the extent of total the active ingredients can rapidly be absorbed, capexposure (AUC) was confirmed to be bioequiva- sules must necessarily be dissolved before absorplent between the two formulations for both active tion can begin, consequently, it is quite predictable ingredients, the rate of absorption (C<sub>max</sub>) met the that peak concentrations can be reached earlier and pre-set BE acceptance criteria (80.00 - 125.00%)

only for pseudoephedrine hydrochloride. The upper

pared to a marked standard formulated as soft cap- However, considering the significantly deviating sules (2 x SpaltGrippal<sup>®</sup> 200mg /30mg = biopharmaceutical properties of both medicinal products, this result cannot surprise. In fact, while the granules are administered as solution and thus, products administered in solution.

Furthermore, consistent with literature evidence, product, especially considering the equivalence of the presence in the Test formulation of ibuprofen as both medicinal products in terms of total exposure. sodium dihydrate could have further promoted a faster absorption (14-16).

the lower  $t_{max}$  found in this study is therefore al- adverse events were observed and no clinically immost definitely attributable to the different pharma- portant laboratory changes or trends was eviceutical form along with the presence of ibuprofen denced. as sodium dihydrate.

Regarding safety, Ibuprofen is known to have a Due the major differences in the biopharmaceutical wide therapeutic index, between 10 to 50 mg/L, properties of granules for oral solution compared and a toxic concentration of >100 mg/L. (17). The with soft gelatin capsules, the bioequivalence beuse of low-dose ibuprofen ( $\leq 1200 \text{ mg/day}$  for  $\leq 10 \text{ tween}$  the formulations has not been fully met. days), without a prescription, was based on market- However, the newly developed formulation of ibuing approval in 1983 (UK) and 1984 (USA) and is profen/pseudoephedrine granules for oral solution now available in over 80 countries. Its relative can provide therapeutic equivalence to conventionsafety at low doses has been supported by large- al solid forms, ensuring a more convenient adminscale controlled studies (18)

It was also reported that Ibuprofen, alone or in ty profile. combination with pseudoephedrine, has an excellent safety/tolerability profile even after multiple doses (19, 20).

The lack of accumulation (20) along with the short plasma half-life of elimination (t<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> about 2h) would provide further assurance of low toxicity even at 2 slightly higher concentrations, provided the drug is administered as product information.

Given the pharmacokinetic characteristics, the tolerability data together with the wide therapeutic window of ibuprofen and its low toxic plasma concentration, there is therefore no reason to think that

with a tendency to higher values in the case of the slightly higher C<sub>max</sub> value found for the new granular formulation compared to the control product could in any way alter the safety profile of the

In addition, safety results of this study confirmed that both investigational products were equally well The slightly higher C<sub>max</sub> of ibuprofen together with tolerated. Only two not serious treatment related

## **CONCLUSION**

istration and faster onset of analgesia for the patient without altering the total exposure and overall safe-

# REFERENCES

- 1. Eccles R. Understanding the symptoms of the common cold and influenza. Lancet Infect Dis. 2005;5(11):718-25.
- Allan GM, Arroll B. Prevention and treatment of the common cold: making sense of the evidence. CMAJ. 2014;186(3):190-9.
- 3. De Sutter AI, van Driel ML, Kumar AA, Lesslar O, Skrt A. Oral antihistamine-decongestantanalgesic combinations for the common cold. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012; (2):CD004976.

- 4. Eccles R, Martensson K, Chen SC. Effects of 13. Ibuprofen oral use immediate release formulaintranasal xylometazoline, alone or in combination with ipratropium, in patients with common cold. Curr Med Res Opin. 2010;26(4):889-99.
- 5. Ngo VTH, Bajaj T. Ibuprofen. [Updated 2023 May 29]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Is-Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ books/NBK542299/
- 6. Głowacka K, Wiela-Hojeńska A. Pseudoephedrine-Benefits and Risks. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22 (10):5146.
- 7. McCarty EB, Chao TN. Dysphagia and Swallowing Disorders. Med Clin North Am. 2021;105(5):939-954.
- 8. Blaszczyk A, Brandt N, Ashley J, Tuders N, Doles H, Stefanacci RG. Crushed Tablet Administration for Patients with Dysphagia and Enteral Feeding: Challenges and Considerations. Drugs Aging. 2023;40(10):895-907
- 9. World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. JAMA. 2013;310(20):2191-4
- 10. ICH Topic E 8. General Considerations for Clinical Trials. Step 5. Note for Guidance on General Considerations for Clinical Trials (CPMP/ICH/291/95). March 1998
- 11. Guideline For Good Clinical Practice E6(R2), Step 5 (EMA/CHMP/ICH/135/1995). Adopted by CHMP on 15 December 2016. Effective since: 14 June 2017
- 12. CHMP Guideline on the Investigation of Bioequivalence. (CPMP/EWP/QWP/1401/98 Rev. 1/ Corr \*\*). 20 January 2010

- tions 200 800 mg product-specific bioequivalence guidance (EMA/CHMP/356876/2017) Adopted guideline 31 May 2018. Published: 26/06/2018; Date of coming into effect 1 December 2018
- land (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. 14. Sörgel F, Fuhr U, Minic M, et al. Pharmacokinetics of ibuprofen sodium dihydrate and gastrointestinal tolerability of short-term treatment with a novel, rapidly absorbed formulation. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2005;43(3):140-149
  - 15. Schleier P, Prochnau A, Schmidt-Westhausen AM, et al. Ibuprofen sodium dihydrate, an ibuprofen formulation with improved absorption characteristics, provides faster and greater pain relief than ibuprofen acid. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2007;45(2):89-97
  - 16. Moore AR, Derry S, Straube S, Ireson-Paine J, Wiffen PJ. Faster, higher, stronger? Evidence for formulation and efficacy for ibuprofen in acute pain. Pain. 2014 Jan;155(1):14-21
  - 17. Davies NM. Clinical pharmacokinetics of ibuprofen. The first 30 years. Clin Pharmacokinet. 1998;34(2):101-154
  - 18. Rainsford KD. Ibuprofen: from invention to an OTC therapeutic mainstay. Int J Clin Pract Suppl. 2013;(178):9-20
  - 19. Oliary J, Tod M, Nicolas P, Petitjean O, Caillé G. Pharmacokinetics of ibuprofen enantiomers after single and repeated doses in man. Biopharm Drug Dispos. 1992;13(5):337-344
  - 20. Gelotte CK, Prior MJ, Pendley C, Zimmerman B, Lavins BJ. Multiple-dose pharmacokinetics and safety of an ibuprofen-pseudoephedrine cold suspension in children. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2010;49(7):678-685