Research Article ISSN 2835-6276

American Journal of Medical and Clinical Research & Reviews

Surrender as Ontological Revelation Rabbi Rami Shapiro's Recovery Theology in Dialogue with the Dialectic of Being and Non-Being

Julian Ungar-Sargon

*Correspondence:Julian Ungar-Sargon

Received: 30 Dec 2025; Accepted: 05 Jan 2026; Published: 15 Jan 2026

Citation: Julian Ungar-Sargon. Surrender as Ontological Revelation Rabbi Rami Shapiro's Recovery Theology in Dialogue with the Dialectic of Being and Non-Being. AJMCRR. 2026; 5(1): 1-13.

Abstract

This essay examines Rabbi Rami Shapiro's theology of surrender as articulated in Surrendered—The Sacred Art (2019) and Recovery—The Sacred Art (2009) in dialogue with the Kabbalistic dialectic of being (yesh) and non-being (ayin). Drawing upon the author's published work on powerlessness as ontological revelation, therapeutic tzimtzum, and the yechida as Higher Power, this analysis argues that Shapiro's "uncovery" framework and his Taoist-inflected understanding of surrender find both resonance and productive tension with the specifically Kabbalistic vocabulary of bittul (self-nullification), ayin (sacred nothingness), and the five-fold soul structure of Jewish mystical anthropology. While both approaches reject naive theism and locate the ground of recovery in interior depths rather than external intervention, they diverge on questions of theological particularity, practical methodology, and the relationship between surrender and recognition. The essay concludes by proposing a synthetic framework wherein surrender constitutes not merely a psychological relinquishment of control but an ontological revelation—the collapse of the illusory yesh of constructed selfhood into the generative ayin from which authentic being emerges.

Keywords: surrender; recovery; Twelve Steps; Rami Shapiro; Kabbalah; yesh; ayin; yechida; bittul; tzimtzum; non-dualism; Higher Power; addiction; ontology; perennial philosophy; Hasidism; mysticism; selfnullification; uncover.

Introduction: The Lost Art of Letting Go

Rabbi Rami Shapiro's theological contribution to addiction recovery literature represents one of the most sophisticated contemporary attempts to bridge the spiritual language of the Twelve Steps with the insights of world mystical traditions. His 2019 work Surrendered—The Sacred Art: Shattering the Illusion of Control and Falling into Grace with

Twelve-Step Spirituality builds upon his earlier Recovery—The Sacred Art (2009), deepening his analysis of what he considers the fundamental human addiction: the compulsive need to control. As Shapiro writes, surrender has become "a lost art"—a practice once central to human spiritual development that modernity has systematically devalued in favor of mastery, management, and manipulation.

This essay brings Shapiro's recovery theology into dialogue with the author's published work on the yechida as Higher Power and on powerlessness as ontological revelation through the Kabbalistic dialectic of yesh (being) and ayin (non-being). The convergences between these approaches are striking: both reject external, interventionist models of divine power; both locate the ground of recovery in an interior dimension that addiction obscures but cannot destroy; both understand the spiritual path as fundamentally one of recognition or uncovering rather than acquisition. Yet they also diverge at crucial points—in their religious syntax, their relationship to tradition, and their understanding of what precisely occurs when the addict "surrenders."

The stakes of this comparison extend beyond academic theology. For the millions of individuals in Twelve-Step recovery, the concept of "Higher Power" remains a stumbling block—too theistic for secular participants, too vague for traditionally religious ones, too external for those whose experience of recovery has been one of interior transformation. By examining how both Shapiro's perennialist approach and the author's Kabbalistic framework reinterpret surrender, this essay offers resources for practitioners seeking to deepen their understanding of what actually happens when control is released and something else—call it God, Aliveness, yechida, or simply Reality—takes its place.

Shapiro's Theology of Surrender

A. Uncovery Rather Than Recovery

The signature move in Shapiro's recovery theology is the reframing of "recovery" as "uncovery." For Shapiro, the problem is not that we have lost something that must be regained from outside, but that we have covered over a reality that was always present. As he writes in Recovery— The Sacred Art: "The genius of the Twelve Steps is not that it recovers reality with the blanket of delusion but that it continually uncovers reality." This reframing has profound theological implications. If recovery is uncovery, then the addict's problem is not the absence of something (sobriety, sanity, spiritual connection) but the presence of obstructions (denial, delusion, the illusion of control) that prevent recognition of what has always been there.

Shapiro's position aligns with what Aldous Huxley, drawing on the Vedantic tradition, called the "perennial philosophy"—the conviction that beneath the diversity of religious forms lies a common mystical insight: that the divine ground is not separate from human consciousness but constitutes its deepest reality. Shapiro explicitly positions himself within this tradition, drawing freely on Buddhist, Hindu, Taoist, Christian, Sufi, and Jewish sources alongside his Twelve-Step experience. His approach is intentionally post-denominational, aiming at what he calls "freeing religion from the parochial and for the perennial."

In Surrendered, Shapiro deepens this analysis by focusing specifically on the first three Steps—admitting powerlessness, coming to believe in a power greater than ourselves, and deciding to turn our will and lives over to that power. These Steps,

Shapiro argues, are not merely preliminary work to be completed before "real" recovery begins; they are the entirety of the spiritual program. Everything else follows from the shattering of the illusion that we are in control.

B. The Illusion of Control

For Shapiro, the fundamental human addiction—prior to any specific substance or behavior—is the "illusion of control." He defines addiction as "a state of mind committed to maintaining the illusion of control" and spirituality as "the practice of spiritual maturation, designed to continually cut through the illusion of control." The addict's relationship to their substance or behavior of choice is, in this reading, secondary to a more primary addiction: the compulsive attempt to manage, manipulate, and master reality.

This insight resonates with what the Twelve-Step literature calls "playing God." Shapiro writes: "Twelve Step recovery is about freeing yourself from playing God, and since almost everyone is addicted to this game, Twelve Step recovery is something from which everyone can benefit." The addict's specific substance merely reveals in heightened form a universal human predicament: the ego's compulsive attempt to secure itself against the uncertainty of existence through control.

Shapiro's analysis here draws heavily on Buddhist psychology, particularly the teaching of dukkha (suffering or unsatisfactoriness) as arising from tanha (craving or clinging). The Second Noble Truth teaches that suffering arises from our desperate grasping after permanence in an impermanent world. The addict's behavior represents this grasping in its most obvious form, but the underlying dynamic—the attempt to control what cannot be controlled—pervades ordinary consciousness. "Normal" people, Shapiro suggests, are simply addicts who have not yet been exposed.

C. Wei Wu Wei: Action Without Forcing

Shapiro's interpretation of the Third Step—"Made a decision to turn our will and our lives over to the care of God as we understood Him"— draws on the Taoist concept of wei wu wei, often translated as "action without forcing" or "effortless action." He writes: "When I stopped playing God and realized the Greater Power 'in whom I live and move and have my being' (Acts 17:28), my life and my will were effortlessly surrendered to God. Living under God's care is what the Chinese call wei wu wei, living without forcing things."

This reading transforms surrender from an act of submission to an external power into a relaxation into the flow of reality. The ego does not hand itself over to God so much as recognize that it was never separate from the divine current in the first place. Surrender, in this model, is less like a soldier laying down arms before a superior force and more like a swimmer ceasing to fight the current and allowing it to carry them.

The image of surrender as flow rather than submission has practical implications for recovery. The addict's characteristic posture is one of tension, strain, and effort—the desperate attempt to manage the unmanageable. The wei wu wei model suggests that recovery involves not greater effort but the release of effort, not harder trying but the recognition that trying itself is part of the problem. As Shapiro puts it, borrowing from the Zen tradition, the way out of the trap is to stop struggling against the trap.

D. The Pure Soul

Shapiro draws explicitly on the Jewish morning

prayer Elohai Neshamah: "My God, the soul you place within me is pure." He adapts this into a recovery meditation: "My God, the soul you place within me is pure. And because it is pure I am free to live today differently than yesterday. Because it is free, I am free to live today without the burden of past habits, past fears, past mistakes, and past failures."

This affirmation of original purity—the soul's essential nature as untainted by the behaviors of addiction—provides the theological ground upon which uncovery becomes possible. If the soul were genuinely corrupted by addiction, recovery would require the acquisition of something new; but if the soul remains pure beneath the accretions of addictive behavior, then recovery is fundamentally a matter of stripping away what obscures that original purity.

The pure soul teaching distinguishes Shapiro's approach from certain Christian theological models that emphasize original sin as a corruption of human nature requiring external redemption. For Shapiro, the problem is not that we are essentially sinful and need saving, but that we have forgotten—or covered over—our essential nature. Grace, in this model, is not something that descends from above to repair a fundamentally broken creature; grace is the recognition of what was never broken to begin with.

Powerlessness and the Dialectic of Being and Non-Being

A. Yesh and Ayin in Kabbalistic Thought

The author's published work on recovery theology employs a different but complementary vocabulary: the Kabbalistic dialectic of yesh (being, somethingness) and ayin (non-being, nothingness). This dialectic, developed most fully in the mystical traditions of Rabbi Isaac Luria (1534– 1572) and elaborated in Hasidic thought, offers a framework for understanding how authentic being emerges not despite non-being but through it.

In Kabbalistic cosmology, creation proceeds through tzimtzum— God's voluntary self-contraction or withdrawal to create space for the world. The infinite (Ein Sof), in order to make room for finite existence, contracts into itself, creating a "vacant space" (chalal hapanui) within which creation can occur. This primordial absence is not mere negation but generative matrix—the womb from which being emerges.

Drawing on the scholarship of Elliot Wolfson, Gershom Scholem, and the radical theology of Jonathan Eybeschütz, the author's work argues that the addict's encounter with powerlessness mirrors this cosmogenic process. When Step One declares "We admitted we were powerless," it invites not merely a psychological acknowledgment but an ontological revelation. The collapse of the ego's pretensions to control—what Hasidic thought calls the dissolution of yeshut (selfhood as separate being)—opens into the sacred ayin from which genuine selfhood can emerge.

B. Bittul: Self-Nullification as Spiritual Practice

The Hasidic concept of bittul (self-nullification) provides the practical and phenomenological complement to the metaphysical dialectic of yesh and ayin. Bittul is not the destruction of the self but the dissolution of the yesh—the false sense of separate, independent existence—that obscures our original unity with the divine ground.

In the teaching of the Maggid of Mezritch (1704–

1772), heir to the Baal Shem Tov, thought itself must undergo bittul to reach its deepest source. The mind must become like nothing—k'ayin—in order to touch the ayin that is the ground of all being. This is not nihilism but its opposite: the recognition that what we took for being (the constructed self, the ego's projects, the illusion of control) was itself a kind of nothing, while what appears as nothing (the dissolution of egoic certainty) is the gateway to authentic being.

Applied to recovery, bittul offers a framework for understanding what occurs in genuine surrender. When the addict "hits bottom," the constructed self—the one who believed it could manage its addiction, control its using, maintain the charade of functionality—collapses. This collapse, experienced as catastrophe, is simultaneously revelation: the kelipot (husks or shells) of false selfhood crack open, and the light of the yechida—the innermost soul that was never addicted because it was never separate from its source—becomes visible.

C. The Yechida as Higher Power

In Kabbalistic anthropology, the human soul comprises five ascending levels: nefesh (vital soul), ruach (spirit), neshamah (breath/soul), chayah (living essence), and yechida (singular one). The first three levels constitute ordinary consciousness; the fourth manifests in moments of ecstatic encounter; the fifth—the yechida—is revealed only in what the tradition calls mesiras nefesh: the willingness to sacrifice the lower self for the sake of what transcends it.

The author's work argues that the "Higher Power" of Twelve-Step recovery can be understood as the yechida—not an external deity intervening from above but the deepest dimension of the self, the point at which individual consciousness is inseparable from its divine source. The yechida is what the Hasidic masters call chelek Eloka mi-ma'al mamash: "a literal portion of God above." It is "higher" not in spatial terms (above rather than below) but in ontological terms (more fundamental, more real, closer to the source).

This reframing inverts the conventional topology of Twelve-Step spirituality. Traditional recovery language speaks of surrender "to" a Higher Power conceived as external, transcendent, other. The yechida framework speaks of surrender "into" a deeper dimension of selfhood—not up but in, not out but down. "When they say higher power," the author writes in a recent meditation, "I hear deeper power. When they say outside, I hear inside-out. When they say surrender, I hear return."

Points of Deep Convergence

A. The Topology of Inversion

Perhaps the most fundamental convergence between Shapiro's approach and the author's Kabbalistic framework lies in what we might call a shared topological inversion: the spiritual geography that conventional religious language maps as "above" and "outside" is remapped as "within" and "beneath." For Shapiro, the Higher Power is not an external deity but what he elsewhere calls "Aliveness" or the "Divine Ground within which all partial realities have their beginning." For the author, the Higher Power is the yechida—"not my higher power but my innermost—the spark that was never not God."

Both approaches thus reject what might be called "elevator spirituality"—the model in which the addict, having sunk to the bottom, calls up to God for rescue and is lifted back to respectability. Instead,

both propose something closer to archaeological spirituality: the recognition that hitting bottom involves breaking through the floor into a dimension that was always present but previously unrecognized. Descent, in this model, is simultaneously ascent; the way down is the way in; surrender is not defeat but homecoming.

B. Original Purity and the Uncorrupted Spark

Both Shapiro's appeal to the Elohai Neshamah prayer and the author's emphasis on the yechida rest on a shared conviction: there exists within the human being a dimension that addiction cannot corrupt because it was never subject to corruption in the first place. Shapiro's pure soul, like the yechida, is not damaged by addictive behavior; it is merely obscured, covered over, hidden beneath the accumulated debris of denial, delusion, and compulsive control.

This conviction has immediate therapeutic implications. If the addict's essential nature were genuinely damaged by addiction, recovery would require the acquisition of something new—grace from outside, virtue from elsewhere, a new self to replace the ruined one. But if the essential nature remains pure, then recovery is fundamentally a matter of recognition: clearing away what obscures the sanctuary that was always holy. The work is not construction but excavation, not becoming but uncovering.

C. Dissolution of the False Self

Both approaches understand addiction as, at its root, a disorder of selfhood—specifically, of the constructed, controlling, grasping self that Buddhist psychology calls the ego and Hasidic thought calls yeshut. For Shapiro, "Twelve Step recovery is about freeing yourself from playing God"—from the ego's compulsive attempt to manage and manipulate reality. For the author, recovery involves the dissolution of the yesh—"the recognition that what falls away was never the Self to begin with."

This shared diagnosis suggests a shared treatment: not the strengthening of ego but its softening, not the reinforcement of control but its release. Both Shapiro's wei wu wei and the author's bittul point toward a mode of being in which the compulsive controller relaxes into the flow of reality. The addict's characteristic tension—the white-knuckled grip on substances, behaviors, and delusions—gives way to something more like trust: not trust in the self's ability to manage, but trust in the larger reality within which the self is held.

D. Recognition Over Acquisition

Perhaps most fundamentally, both approaches reject a model of spirituality as acquisition—gaining something we previously lacked—in favor of a model of recognition or disclosure. Shapiro's "uncovery" is precisely this: not the recovery of something lost but the uncovering of what was always present. Similarly, the author writes: "Not becoming spiritual. Uncovering what is. Not finding God. Allowing God to find itself in this vessel."

This shift from acquisition to recognition has profound implications for the phenomenology of recovery. The addict seeking to "get" something—sobriety, serenity, spiritual connection—remains caught in the acquisitive mode that characterizes addiction itself. (One thinks of the "dry drunk" who has stopped drinking but maintains all the emotional and relational patterns of active addiction.) Genuine recovery, in both frameworks, involves a release from the acquisitive posture it-

self—a recognition that what we sought was never absent, only unrecognized.

Points of Productive Divergence

A. Perennial Universalism vs. Kabbalistic Particularity

The most significant divergence between Shapiro's approach and the author's lies in their relationship to religious particularity. Shapiro explicitly positions himself within the "perennial philosophy" tradition, drawing freely on Buddhist, Hindu, Taoist, Christian, Sufi, and Jewish sources. His approach is intentionally syncretic, seeking the common mystical core beneath diverse religious expressions. As he writes, he aims at "freeing religion from the parochial and for the perennial."

The author's work, while capable of translation into perennialist categories, remains more deliberately rooted in specifically Kabbalistic vocabulary and conceptual architecture. The five-fold soul structure, the concept of chelek Eloka mi-ma'al mamash, the emphasis on bittul as a specifically Hasidic practice, the dialectic of yesh and ayin—these situate the work within a particular tradition while remaining available for wider conversation.

This is not merely an aesthetic preference but a theological claim. The author's work on heretical Kabbalah and therapeutic mystical vision argues that traditions carry not only conceptual content but also what might be called "spiritual technology"—practices, vocabularies, and frameworks refined over centuries for navigating specific territory. The Kabbalistic vocabulary of tzimtzum, shevirah (shattering), and tikkun (repair) offers a precision of analysis that perennialist generalities may obscure. The question is not whether all mystical paths lead to the same summit— perhaps they do—but whether the particular path one walks shapes what one discovers there.

B. Surrender as Flow vs. Surrender as Recognition

Shapiro's wei wu wei model understands surrender primarily as release into flow—ceasing to fight the current and allowing it to carry one. The emphasis is on how one lives: without forcing, without straining, without the compulsive effort to control outcomes. The author's yechida model, while not incompatible with this reading, emphasizes something different: surrender as recognition—the discovery that the self one surrenders to and the self one surrenders from are not, ultimately, two.

In the wei wu wei model, there remains a dualism—the swimmer and the current, the self and the flow it relaxes into. In the yechida model, this dualism collapses: "Not surrender to another. Return to Self." The Higher Power is not another to whom one surrenders but the deeper dimension of oneself that one finally recognizes. This is not pantheistic inflation ("I am God") but non-dual recognition ("The deepest I is not separate from its source").

The practical difference may be subtle but significant. Shapiro's model invites the addict to release control and trust the flow; the author's model invites the addict to recognize that the one who was trying to control was never the true self in the first place. Both lead to release, but through different phenomenological routes: one through trust in process, the other through recognition of identity.

C. The Question of Practice

Shapiro offers extensive practical exercises drawn from multiple traditions: vipassana meditation, Centering Prayer, various forms of contemplative

practice. His approach is deliberately eclectic, offering readers a smorgasbord of techniques from which to construct their own spiritual program. This eclecticism follows logically from his perennialism: if all traditions point toward the same reality, then practices from any tradition should be equally serviceable.

The author's work is more reticent about practice, though practice is implied: "I practice recognizing what was never lost." There are gestures toward bittul, toward "prayer" and "silence," toward "the refusal to cling"— but no elaboration of systematic method. This reticence may reflect a Hasidic suspicion of technique as such, a sense that practice is less about achieving something than about recognizing what is already the case.

There is also, perhaps, a theological reason for this reticence. If recovery is fundamentally recognition rather than acquisition, then technique becomes paradoxical: one cannot do something to recognize what was never lost. One can only—to use a phrase the author borrows from Meister Eckhart—"let God be God" in oneself, which is less a technique than a release of all techniques.

D. Theological Vocabulary

Shapiro is comfortable speaking of "God" in relatively conventional terms, even while reinterpreting the concept non-dually. He writes prayers, references "God's will," and uses traditional theistic language—albeit with a twist that empties it of its conventional referent. The author's work is more hesitant: "I cannot say Ein Sof, cannot rehearse the metaphysics of tzimtzum, the dialectic of yesh and ayin that fills essays with footnotes and quenches no thirst."

There is a deliberate resistance in the author's work to theological systematization, a preference for the apophatic over the kataphatic, for gesture and evocation over definition and explanation. This preference aligns with the Kabbalistic teaching that the deepest reality—Ein Sof, the infinite—cannot be captured in positive predication. What can be said about God is not God; the divine exceeds every formulation. Recovery, in this light, may similarly exceed theological articulation—may be something that can only be gestured toward, evoked, witnessed, but never fully explained.

Avraham Sutton and the Perception of Divine Oneness

A third voice enters this dialogue through the work of Rav Avraham Sutton, whose The Book of Divine Oneness: Revealing God’s Absolute Unity (2024) offers a complementary framework for understanding the relationship between surrender, consciousness, and divine presence. Sutton, an Orthodox Torah scholar who has spent over forty years teaching prophetic Torah and Kabbalah in Jerusalem, approaches these questions from within an explicitly traditional framework while maintaining remarkable accessibility and pastoral concern. His work on divine unity provides an important bridge between the perennialist vocabulary of Shapiro and the author’s more technical Kabbalistic apparatus.

A. The Interface of Human and Divine Consciousness

Sutton’s central contribution to this conversation lies in his sustained exploration of what he calls the “interface between human consciousness and divine consciousness.” In the opening chapters of The Book of Divine Oneness, particularly in Chapters 2 through 5, Sutton develops a phenomenology

of how finite human awareness can apprehend—or more precisely, participate in—the absolute unity of the Ein Sof. This is not merely a theological abstraction but what Sutton calls "perceiving the Divine light of unity in your own life"—a practical spirituality aimed at transforming how one experiences reality moment by moment.

The connection to surrender becomes explicit when we recognize that for Sutton, the primary obstacle to perceiving divine oneness is the same yeshut (sense of separate selfhood) that this essay has identified as the core of addiction. The illusion of separation—the conviction that "I" exist as an independent entity over against God and world—is precisely what prevents the recognition of unity that Sutton describes. Surrender, in this light, becomes the dissolution of the perceptual barrier between self and divine, the collapse of the illusion that maintained the fiction of fundamental duality.

B. Inner Work and the Topology of Transformation

Sutton consistently emphasizes that "the changes we are looking forward to are not going to happen 'out there' on the outer stage of history until they happen 'inside' each and every one of us." This formulation precisely echoes the topological inversion that both Shapiro and the author identify as central to authentic spirituality. The conventional religious imagination pictures transformation as coming from without—divine grace descending, external circumstances shifting, redemption arriving. Sutton, like the author's treatment of the yechida, insists that the direction must be reversed: the outside changes only when the inside changes first.

This "inner work" that Sutton champions is not merely psychological self-improvement but ontological realignment. He teaches "the importance of knowing who we are, and why we (our souls) have been born at this momentous time." The parenthetical—"our souls"—is telling. Sutton, like the author, distinguishes between the surface self (what might be called the nefesh in its habitual patterns) and the deeper identity of the soul. Knowing "who we are" is not discovering new information about the personality but recognizing the soul's true nature—which is precisely what the author describes as the revelation of the yechida.

C. Yichud HaShem and the Recovery of Unity

The concept of yichud HaShem—the unification or declaration of divine unity—lies at the heart of Sutton's work and provides perhaps the most significant point of convergence with recovery theology. The Shema— "Hear O Israel, the Lord our God, the Lord is One"—is not merely a statement of monotheism but what Kabbalistic tradition calls a yichud—a unification of divine names and aspects that reflects back into the consciousness of the one who recites it.

In Sutton's reading, to truly affirm that God is One is not simply to assert a theological proposition but to participate in a transformation of perception. The final word of the Shema—echad ("one")—is traditionally expounded through its constituent letters: aleph (numerical value 1) represents the singular divine essence; chet (8) represents the seven heavens plus earth through which this One extends; dalet (4) represents the four directions in which divine presence spreads throughout the world. The one who recites echad with proper intention experiences—if only for a moment—the unity that underlies apparent multiplicity.

The implications for recovery theology are profound. The addict's experience is fundamentally one of fragmentation—divided against self, alienated from others, separated from any sense of transcendent meaning. What Sutton calls "perceiving the Divine light of unity" is the antidote to this fragmentation. Recovery, in this light, is not merely the cessation of substance use but the restoration of an experience of unity—within the self, with others, and ultimately with the divine ground. The yichud that Sutton describes becomes, for the recovering addict, a daily practice of remembering wholeness in the midst of a condition defined by brokenness.

D. Sutton, Shapiro, and the Author: A Triangulation

The triangulation of Shapiro, Sutton, and the author's approach reveals both convergences and instructive differences. All three share the conviction that spiritual transformation proceeds from within to without, that the obstacle to wholeness lies in a fundamental misperception of selfhood, and that recovery (or uncovery, or realization of unity) is finally a matter of recognition rather than acquisition. Yet they operate with different emphases and vocabularies.

Shapiro's approach is deliberately perennialist, drawing on multiple traditions to articulate a universal human predicament and its resolution. The author's approach remains rooted in specifically Kabbalistic and Hasidic categories—the dialectic of yesh and ayin, the five-fold soul structure, the concept of bittul—while remaining open to broader application. Sutton occupies an interesting middle position: firmly Orthodox in his commitments, drawing primarily on traditional Jewish sources (the Zohar, the Arizal, the Baal Shem Tov, the Ramchal), yet presenting these teachings in accessible language aimed at practical spiritual transformation.

What Sutton adds to the conversation that neither Shapiro nor the author emphasizes quite so strongly is the prophetic and eschatological dimension. Sutton consistently situates inner work within the context of a larger cosmic drama—"why we (our souls) have been born at this momentous time." The addict's journey of recovery becomes, in this frame, not merely a personal healing but a participation in the tikkun (repair) of the world itself. Each act of surrender, each moment of recognizing divine unity beneath apparent fragmentation, contributes to the healing of a cosmos in need of redemption.

This cosmic frame does not contradict either Shapiro's perennialism or the author's Kabbalistic framework; rather, it complements them by adding an additional dimension of meaning. For the addict struggling to make sense of their suffering, Sutton's vision offers the possibility that their personal descent and recovery participate in something larger—that the work they do on themselves contributes to the healing of creation itself. The particular soul that struggles with addiction may have been born precisely to do this work, to discover through the crucible of suffering the divine unity that lies beneath all apparent separation.

Toward a Synthesis: Surrender as Ontological Revelation

A. Beyond Psychology

The comparison between Shapiro's approach and the author's Kabbalistic framework suggests the possibility of a synthesis: an understanding of surrender that transcends merely psychological cate-

gories. In the conventional Twelve-Step interpretation, surrender is a psychological event—the ego's acknowledgment of defeat, the relinquishment of the illusion of control. Both Shapiro and the author point toward something more: surrender as an ontological event—a transformation in the very structure of the self's being.

In the author's formulation, the addict's encounter with powerlessness mirrors the Kabbalistic understanding of ayin as the sacred ground from which authentic being emerges. Step One—"We admitted we were powerless"—is not merely a confession of defeat but a recognition of ontological structure. The constructed self that believed itself powerful was never the true self; its collapse reveals the deeper dimension that was there all along. This is what the author calls "powerlessness as ontological revelation": the shattering of false yesh opens into generative ayin.

B. The Theodicy of Addiction

This ontological reading of surrender also addresses what might be called the theodicy of addiction: the question of how a beneficent God permits such suffering. If addiction is merely affliction—something terrible that happens to people—then its relationship to divine providence remains mysterious. But if the addict's descent serves an ontological function— revealing through its very extremity what ordinary consciousness conceals—then addiction becomes, in a paradoxical sense, a vehicle for revelation.

This is not to romanticize addiction or to suggest that suffering is "good." The Kabbalistic framework of shevirah (shattering) and tikkun (repair) acknowledges that the breaking is real breaking, the pain real pain. But it also recognizes that precisely through the shattering, light becomes available that would otherwise remain concealed. The addict who hits bottom and finds there not despair but a "Higher Power"—or rather, recognizes there the yechida that was present all along—has undergone not merely psychological transformation but ontological revelation.

C. Implications for Therapeutic Practice

The synthetic framework proposed here has immediate implications for clinical practice with addicted individuals. If surrender is ontological revelation rather than merely psychological capitulation, then the therapeutic task shifts. The clinician becomes less a problem-solver helping the patient regain control and more a witness to an unfolding recognition— what the author, in his work on therapeutic tzimtzum, calls "creating the conditions for revelation."

This reframing aligns with the author's broader project of "hermeneutic medicine"—an approach that treats the patient as sacred text requiring interpretive engagement rather than merely diagnostic intervention. The addict's story, including the narrative of collapse and surrender, becomes legible as a spiritual autobiography: the tale of a yechida seeking recognition through the only means available—the destruction of what prevented that recognition.

D. The Common Ground

Shapiro's work and the author's reflection represent two distinct but complementary responses to the spiritual challenge posed by addiction and recovery. Both reject a naive understanding of "Higher Power" as an external deity who intervenes from above; both locate the ground of recovery in an interior dimension that addiction obscures but can-

not destroy; both understand the spiritual path as primarily one of recognition or uncovering rather than acquisition.

Yet they differ in their religious syntax: Shapiro's is perennialist and pragmatically eclectic, drawing on whatever sources prove useful for the task at hand; the author's is more specifically Kabbalistic and Hasidic, mining a particular tradition's depths. Neither approach is superior; they address different spiritual temperaments and needs. Some will find Shapiro's universalism more accessible; others will find the particular vocabulary of yechida and bittul more resonant with their experience.

What both share, finally, is the conviction that the God we seek has been seeking us from within. "When I stopped playing God," Shapiro writes, "and realized the Greater Power 'in whom I live and move and have my being,' my life and my will were effortlessly surrendered." "Not finding God," the author writes, "Allowing God to find itself in this vessel." These are different formulations of the same insight: that surrender is not submission to an external power but recognition of the power that was within us all along, waiting—with infinite patience—to be discovered.

Conclusion: The Art of Falling

Surrender is indeed a "lost art," as Shapiro argues—lost to a culture that valorizes control, management, and mastery above all else. But it is also an art that can be recovered, or rather, uncovered—recognized beneath the accumulation of modern assumptions about the self's sovereign independence. Both Shapiro's perennialist approach and the author's Kabbalistic framework contribute to this recovery by showing that surrender is not what it appears to be: not defeat but liberation, not the end of the self but its true beginning.

In a lecture on the Twelve Steps, Shapiro reportedly said: "Gravity is your friend here. You start at Step One and tumble down to Twelve." The image is apt: recovery is less like climbing a ladder than falling—falling through the floor of the ego's pretensions, falling into the abyss that turns out to be the ground. The author's Kabbalistic framework adds a dimension to this falling: it is a fall into ayin, into the sacred nothingness from which all being emerges, into the yechida that was never separate from its source.

For the addict in early recovery, struggling with the concept of "Higher Power" or the demand to "surrender," this reframing may offer a new possibility. The Higher Power need not be conceived as an external deity to whom one submits; it can be recognized as the deepest dimension of the self—"higher" only in the sense of more fundamental, more real. And surrender need not feel like capitulation to an alien force; it can be experienced as homecoming, as return, as the recognition of what one always was beneath the layers of addiction and denial.

In the end, both approaches converge on a single insight: that the solution to addiction is not more control but less; not greater effort but surrender; not the acquisition of something new but the recognition of what was always present. Recovery is uncovery. Surrender is return. And the God we seek—whether called Aliveness, Ein Sof, or yechida—has been waiting for us all along, not above but within, not elsewhere but here, in the very depths where we feared to look.

References

1. Shapiro RM. Recovery—The Sacred Art: The Twelve Steps as Spiritual Practice. Woodstock, VT: SkyLight Paths Publishing; 2009.
2. Shapiro RM. Surrendered—The Sacred Art: Shattering the Illusion of Control and Falling into Grace with Twelve-Step Spirituality. Woodstock, VT: SkyLight Paths Publishing; 2019.
3. Shapiro RM. Perennial Wisdom for the Spiritually Independent: Sacred Teachings—Annotated & Explained. Woodstock, VT: SkyLight Paths Publishing; 2013.
4. Shapiro RM. Holy Rascals: Advice for Spiritual Revolutionaries. Louisville, CO: Sounds True; 2017.
5. Huxley A. The Perennial Philosophy. New York: Harper & Brothers; 1945.
6. Wolfson ER. Language, Eros, Being: Kabbalistic Hermeneutics and Poetic Imagination. New York: Fordham University Press; 2005.
7. Scholem G. Major Trends in Jewish Mysticism. New York: Schocken Books; 1941.
8. Idel M. Kabbalah: New Perspectives. New Haven: Yale University Press; 1988.
9. Magid S. Hasidism on the Margin: Reconciliation, Antinomianism, and Messianism in Izbica and Radzin Hasidism. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press; 2003.
10. Fine L. Physician of the Soul, Healer of the Cosmos: Isaac Luria and His Kabbalistic Fellowship. Stanford: Stanford University Press; 2003.
11. Green A. Ehyeh: A Kabbalah for Tomorrow. Woodstock, VT: Jewish Lights Publishing; 2003.
12. Matt DC. The Essential Kabbalah: The Heart of Jewish Mysticism. San Francisco: HarperSanFrancisco; 1995.
13. Elior R. The Paradoxical Ascent to God: The Kabbalistic Theosophy of Habad Hasidism. Albany: SUNY Press; 1993.
14. Ungar-Sargon JY. Powerlessness as ontological revelation: Step One through the dialectic of yesh and ayin. Available from: https://www.jyungar.com/essays-on-healing
15. Ungar-Sargon JY. A post-Holocaust reading through the dialectic of being and non-being. Available from: https://www.jyungar.com/theological-essays
16. Ungar-Sargon JY. A religious response to Harari: Dialectical divine consciousness. Available from: https://www.jyungar.com/theological-essays
17. Ungar-Sargon JY. Tzimtzum as therapeutic paradigm: Divine contraction and the healing encounter. Available from: https://www.jyungar.com/healing-essays
18. Ungar-Sargon JY. The yechida as Higher Power: Toward a Kabbalistic theology of recovery. Available from: https://www.jyungar.com/theological-essays
19. Alcoholics Anonymous. Alcoholics Anonymous: The Story of How Many Thousands of Men and Women Have Recovered from Alcoholism. 4th ed. New York: Alcoholics Anonymous World Services; 2001.
20. Twelve Steps and Twelve Traditions. New York: Alcoholics Anonymous World Services; 1981.
21. Kurtz E. Not-God: A History of Alcoholics Anonymous. Center City, MN: Hazelden; 1979.
22. Tanya (Likkutei Amarim). Rabbi Schneur Zalman of Liadi. Brooklyn: Kehot Publication Society; various editions.
23. Sutton A. The Book of Divine Oneness: Revealing God's Absolute Unity. Independently published; 2024.